

Open Geospatial Consortium

Date: 2012-02-28

External id of this OGC® document: <http://www.opengis.net/doc/ows8-wcs2.0-eo-ap-compliance>

Reference number of this document: OGC 11-095r1

Category: Public Engineering Report

Editors: Jinsongdi Yu, Peter Baumann

OGC® OWS-8 WCS 2.0 Earth Observation Application Profile Compliance Tests ER

Copyright © 2012 Open Geospatial Consortium.
To obtain additional rights of use, visit <http://www.opengeospatial.org/legal/>.

Warning

This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is an OGC Public Engineering Report created as a deliverable in an OGC Interoperability Initiative and is not an official position of the OGC membership. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard. Further, any OGC Engineering Report should not be referenced as required or mandatory technology in procurements..

Document type:	Engineering Report
Document subtype:	NA
Document stage:	Approved for public release
Document language:	English

Preface

This Engineering Report was prepared as a deliverable for the OGC Web Services, Phase 8 (OWS-8) initiative of the OGC Interoperability Program. The document presents the work completed with respect to the Observation Fusion OF-Tracking sub-thread within OWS-8.

This Engineering Report describes and evaluates the specification of EO-WCS ATS and the implementation of ETS for use within an OGC SOA processing chain.

In OGC's Interoperability Initiatives, international teams of technology providers work together to solve specific geoprocessing interoperability problems posed by the Initiative's sponsoring organizations. OGC Interoperability Initiatives include test beds, pilot projects, interoperability experiments and interoperability support services - all designed to encourage rapid development, testing, validation and adoption of OGC standards.

The OWS-8 sponsors are organizations seeking open standards for their interoperability requirements. After analyzing their requirements, the OGC Interoperability Team recommend to the sponsors that the content of the OWS-8 initiative be organized around the following threads:

- * Observation Fusion
- * Geosynchronization (Gsync)
- * Cross-Community Interoperability (CCI)
- * Aviation

More information about the OWS-8 testbed can be found at:

<http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/requests/74>

OGC Document [11-139] “OWS-8 Summary Report” provides a summary of the OWS-8 testbed and is available for download:

https://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=46176

License Agreement

Permission is hereby granted by the Open Geospatial Consortium, Inc. ("Licensor"), free of charge and subject to the terms set forth below, to any person obtaining a copy of this Intellectual Property and any associated documentation, to deal in the Intellectual Property without restriction (except as set forth below), including without limitation the rights to implement, use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, and/or sublicense copies of the Intellectual Property, and to permit persons to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished to do so, provided that all copyright notices on the intellectual property are retained intact and that each person to whom the Intellectual Property is furnished agrees to the terms of this Agreement.

If you modify the Intellectual Property, all copies of the modified Intellectual Property must include, in addition to the above copyright notice, a notice that the Intellectual Property includes modifications that have not been approved or adopted by LICENSOR.

THIS LICENSE IS A COPYRIGHT LICENSE ONLY, AND DOES NOT CONVEY ANY RIGHTS UNDER ANY PATENTS THAT MAY BE IN FORCE ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD.

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY RIGHTS. THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR HOLDERS INCLUDED IN THIS NOTICE DO NOT WARRANT THAT THE FUNCTIONS CONTAINED IN THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL MEET YOUR REQUIREMENTS OR THAT THE OPERATION OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR ERROR FREE. ANY USE OF THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE USER'S OWN RISK. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR ANY CONTRIBUTOR OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, OR ANY DIRECT, SPECIAL, INDIRECT OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER RESULTING FROM ANY ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OR ANY LOSS OF USE, DATA OR PROFITS, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE OR UNDER ANY OTHER LEGAL THEORY, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION, USE, COMMERCIALIZATION OR PERFORMANCE OF THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

This license is effective until terminated. You may terminate it at any time by destroying the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form. The license will also terminate if you fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement. Except as provided in the following sentence, no such termination of this license shall require the termination of any third party end-user sublicense to the Intellectual Property which is in force as of the date of notice of such termination. In addition, should the Intellectual Property, or the operation of the Intellectual Property, infringe, or in LICENSOR's sole opinion be likely to infringe, any patent, copyright, trademark or other right of a third party, you agree that LICENSOR, in its sole discretion, may terminate this license without any compensation or liability to you, your licensees or any other party. You agree upon termination of any kind to destroy or cause to be destroyed the Intellectual Property together with all copies in any form, whether held by you or by any third party.

Except as contained in this notice, the name of LICENSOR or of any other holder of a copyright in all or part of the Intellectual Property shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Intellectual Property without prior written authorization of LICENSOR or such copyright holder. LICENSOR is and shall at all times be the sole entity that may authorize you or any third party to use certification marks, trademarks or other special designations to indicate compliance with any LICENSOR standards or specifications.

This Agreement is governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The application to this Agreement of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods is hereby expressly excluded. In the event any provision of this Agreement shall be deemed unenforceable, void or invalid, such provision shall be modified so as to make it valid and enforceable, and as so modified the entire Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. No decision, action or inaction by LICENSOR shall be construed to be a waiver of any rights or remedies available to it.

None of the Intellectual Property or underlying information or technology may be downloaded or otherwise exported or reexported in violation of U.S. export laws and regulations. In addition, you are responsible for complying with any local laws in your jurisdiction which may impact your right to import, export or use the Intellectual Property, and you represent that you have complied with any regulations or registration procedures required by applicable law to make this license enforceable.

Contents	Page
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Document contributor contact points	1
1.3 Revision history.....	1
1.4 Foreword	2
2 References.....	2
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 Conventions	2
4.1 Abbreviated terms	2
5 OGC® OWS-8 EO-WCS ATS and ETS Overview	3
6 ATS.....	3
7 ETS	4
8 Issues found	5
8.1 Consistency	5
8.2 Extra test.....	6
8.3 Inconclusive result.....	6
8.4 Can not test invalid requests in SOAP	6

Tables	Page
Table 1— EO Data Model	3
Table 2— Service Operations.....	3
Table 3— Service extensions	4
Table 4— Service protocols	4

OGC® OWS-8 OWS-8 WCS 2.0 Earth Observation Application Profile Compliance Tests ER

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

As a thread of activity in OWS-8, Observation Fusion thread combines the OGC Earth Observation Web Coverage Service (EO-WCS) standard and architecture with the results of the recent OGC development of EO-WCS abstract test suites (ATS) and executable test suites (ETS). Coverage was one of three categories in of the Fusion Standards study. The EO-WCS architecture was designed to enable web-accessible earth observation coverages offered by a WCS 2.0 server through common interfaces and encodings. An Earth observation coverage is a coverage extended with EO Metadata [OGC 10-157r2] and bound to a location on the Earth. EO Coverages are a subtype of either `GMLCOV::RectifiedGridCoverage` or `GMLCOV::ReferenceableGridCoverage`. The purpose of the OGC EO-WCS framework is to provide interoperability among multidisciplinary earth observation coverages, as well as to serve as an interoperable bridge between earth sensors, models and simulations, networks, and decision support tools. The development of EO-WCS ATS and ETS continues the further refinement and extension of EO-WCS with an emphasis on Earth Observation tasks.

1.2 Document contributor contact points

All questions regarding this document should be directed to the editor or the contributors:

Name	Organization
Jinsongdi Yu	Jacobs University Bremen
Peter Baumann	Jacobs University Bremen, rasdaman GmbH

1.3 Revision history

Date	Release	Editor	Primary clauses modified	Description
2011/07/07	Draft	JY, PB		Draft submission, outline, some diagrams and models.

1.4 Foreword

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium Inc. shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

2 References

The following documents are referenced in this document. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.

OGC 06-121r9. *OGC Web Services Common Standard*, version 2.0

OGC 10-140. OGC® Web Coverage Service 2.0 Interface Standard - Earth Observation Application Profile

In addition to this document, this report includes several test document files as specified in Annex A and Annex B.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this report, the definitions specified in Clause 4 of the OWS Common Implementation Specification [OGC 06-121r9] shall apply. In addition, the following terms and definitions apply.

4 Conventions

4.1 Abbreviated terms

OGC	Open Geospatial Consortium
OWS	Open Web Service
OWS-8	OGC Web Services Initiative, Phase 8
WCS	Web Coverage Service

EO-WCS OGC® Web Coverage Service 2.0 Interface Standard - Earth Observation Application Profile

ATS Abstract test suite

ETS Executable test suite

5 OGC® OWS-8 EO-WCS ATS and ETS Overview

The topic for this report addresses a need to explore the use of EO-WCS ATS and ETS to improve the EO-WCS implementations and evaluate how much the specification itself supports testing of these implementations. EO-WCS ATS is specified according to the requirements in the EO-WCS specification and provides a basis for developing requirement-consistent ETS. The ETS is specified in OGC Compliance Test Language (CTL). Currently, OGC Compliance & Interoperability Testing & Evaluation (CITE) program uses OGC's Test, Evaluation, and Measurement (TEAM) Engine, which is fed with the specified CTL scripts to test these implementations.

6 ATS

According to the standards studied, an EO-WCS implementation must satisfy the following system characteristics to be conformant with this specification:

- **EO Data Model**, see Table 1;
- **Service operations**, such as GetCapabilities, DescribeCoverage, GetCoverage and DescribeEOCoverageSet, see Table 2;
- **Service extensions**, see Table 3;
- **Service protocols**, see Table 4.

Table 1— EO Data Model

Name	ATS	Approach
EO data model	A.1.1-1.28	XML schema/schematron validation*.

NOTE * Tests on specific coverage format encodings are stubbed because schema/schematron validation approach is not able to handle encodings other than xml.

Table 2— Service Operations

Name	ATS	Approach
GetCapabilities	A.1.29-1.37	Check according to the corresponding requirements

Describe Coverage	A.1.38-1.39	Check according to the corresponding requirements
GetCoverage	A.1.40-1.45	Depends on coverage format encodings*
DescribeEOCoverageSet	A.1.46-1.61	Check according to the corresponding requirements

NOTE * If the response is in a pure format other than GML, no XML schema/schematron validation applies. If the response is in a mixed-format, XML schema/schematron validation applies. In this case, testing on RangeSet is not available because the corresponding mapping mechanism is not provided. If the response is in GML, XML schema/schematron validation applies. However, the performance would be very poor in real practice.

Table 3— Service extensions

Name	ATS	Approach
Service extensions *	A.1.62-66	Determine the list of supported extensions via a valid GetCapabilities request; check that the extension required is listed. Invoke extension test if it exists.

NOTE * Service extension test is stubbed if the corresponding specification is not available.

Table 4— Service protocols

Name	ATS	Approach
Service protocols *	A.2-A.3	Determine the list of supported protocols and send requests as defined. Check that proper responses are returned.

7 ETS

The currently available test functionality includes:

- *GetCapabilities* request contains a `sections` element
- *GetCapabilities* response structure
- *GetCapabilities* response `WCSEO::DatasetSeriesSummary` element
- *GetCapabilities* response `WCS::CoverageSummary` element

- *GetCapabilities* response `WCS::CoverageSubtype` element
- *DescribeCoverage* response `WCSEO::EOMetadata` element
- *DescribeCoverage* response `WCS::CoverageSubtype` element
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request structure
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request contains a `sections` element and the response
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request contains an `eoId` parameter and the response
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request contains a `containment` parameter and the response
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request contains `dimensionTrim` elements with `dimension` parameters and the response
- *DescribeEOCoverageSet* request contains `crs` and the response
- Get/KVP and SOAP protocol-bindings
- Service extension supports

The test suite can be downloaded from: <https://svn.opengeospatial.org/ogc-projects/cite/scripts/wcseo/1.0/>.

8 Issues found

8.1 Consistency

Should the EO-WCS be consistent with WCS 2.0? Semantically, the answer is yes. That is because the WCS 2.0 defines the abstract interfaces and the abstract data model and the EO-WCS defines the profile based on these abstract models. Specifically, the EO-WCS is inherited from the WCS 2.0.

Syntactically, the answer is yes. However, the EO-WCS adds specific domain knowledge based on WCS 2.0 and create some mandatory ingredients. These extra ingredients are not recognized by the WCS 2.0. Therefore, EO-WCS implementations will not pass the WCS 2.0 test by the schema validation unless these extra ingredients are added to XML Schema *any* element in WCS 2.0.

8.2 Extra test

Should WCS 2.0 soap extension (OGC 09-149r1 req8) test the service WSDL, which is a W3C service description language and contains duplicate information in the GetCapabilities response? Obviously, CITE TEAMENGINE does not know this WSDL. However, both W3C WSDL file and OGC GetCapabilities response should contain some consistent information on the service description. Therefore, an extra test is needed to test such a phenomenon. Then, the question is how to invoke this extra test on WSDL and build the connection between W3C and OGC.

8.3 Inconclusive result

In face of a bad Internet connection the following error will always be reported back:

Cannot resolve the name 'xml:lang' to a(n) 'attribute declaration' component

Although the implementation may be correct, TEAM Engine treats this as a test fail. However, this should be an “inconclusive result due to failed server connection”.

8.4 Can not test invalid requests in SOAP

Invalid requests, which are needed to test the service, are not supported. For example,

OGC 10-140 /conf/eowcs/describeEOCoverageSet-request-structure
A.1.46

Test method: Send *DescribeEOCoverageSet* requests with valid and invalid request structure.

Pass test if appropriate valid results or exceptions, resp., are delivered.

Whenever an invalid request is sent by SOAP, an error “*DOMSender: no start node defined*” will be reported.

OGC 06-126r2 Subclause 9.3.3.10 states that “*Body: The <body> element contains the data to be included in the SOAP body. The element should contain XML compliant with the web service interface.*” Note the “should”.

Annex A
EO-WCS ATS

The ATS is included in OGC 10-140 Annex A.

Annex B

EO-WCS ETS

The test suite is available from:
<https://svn.opengeospatial.org/ogc-projects/cite/scripts/wcseo/1.0/>