

All Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

<b>Change Request #:</b>	119
<b>Assigned OGC Document #:</b>	10-187
<b>Name:</b>	*Panagiotis (Peter) Vretanos
<b>Organization:</b>	*CubeWerx Inc.
<b>Email:</b>	*pvretano@cubewerx.com
<b>Document Name/Version:</b>	*CSW-ebRIM Registry Service – Part 2: Basic extension package / 1.0.1
<b>OGC Project Document:</b>	*07-144r4
If this is a revision of a previous submission and you have a Change Request Number, then check here: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Enter the CR number here: <input type="text"/>	
Enter the Revision Number that you are revising here: <input type="text"/>	
<hr/>	
<b>Title:</b> ⓘ	*Extend basic package to describe actual OGC artifacts.
<b>Source:</b> ⓘ	*???
<b>Work item code:</b> ⓘ	
<b>Category:</b> ⓘ	* <input type="text" value="B (Addition of feature)"/>
<hr/>	

**Reason for change:**

\*

The basic package currently describe a very small subset of OGC artifacts and is missing what I believe most people would consider basic object types. For example there are no object type identifiers to distinguish between a wms layer and a wfs featute type. This makes the csw fairly useless without additional extension packages. I believe that an ogc catalogue should be able to harvest ogc artifacts right out of the box without additional packages.

**Summary of change:**

\*

- 1) Figure out from the set of OGC artifacts which might need to be catalogued. Surely a wms layer is a basic ogc artifact that we would want to be catalogued.
- 2) Figure out what associations and classifications exist for and among the set of objects identified in (1).
- 3) Revise the basic package accordingly.

**Consequences if not approved:**

- 1) Right out of the box a current CSW-ebRIM catalogue is not very useful at registering and cataloging OGC objects.
- 2) OGC catalogues will be even less interoperable than they are now if each implementation uses its own object/association/classification identifiers. This is even a problem if two extension packages define different identifiers for the same object types ... for example.

---


**Clauses affected:**


\*


TBD

**Additional Documents affected:**

**Supporting Documentation:**

**Comments:** 

**Status:** 

**Assigned To:** 

**Disposition:** 