All Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Change Request #:	98
Assigned OGC Document #:	**10-117**
Name:	*Leif Stainsby
Organization:	*Galdos Systems Inc.
Email:	*lstainsby@galdosinc.com
Document Name/Version:	*CSW-ebRIM Registry Service - Part 1: ebRIM profile of CSW / 1.0.1
OGC Project Document:	*07-110r4

If this is a revision of a previous submission and you have a Change Request Number, then check here: ☐

Enter the CR number here: [　　　　　]

Enter the Revsion Number that you are revising here: [　　　　　]

Title: ⊙	*Define a packaging format for CSW-ebRIM Extension Packages
Source: ⊙	*L. Stainsby
Work item code: ⊙	
Category: ⊙	* B (Addition of feature) ⇕

Reason for change: ⊙	* The CSW-ebRIM 1.0.1 specification includes an Extension Package (the Basic Package) and partially describes what they contain, but, stops short of defining a transport format for them. Having a transport format, one which could be used for ingest (via Harvest for example), export and archival purposes would be extremely useful and facilitate the adoption of the Extension Package concept as well as the creation of new domain specific packages.

Summary of change:	*
	Define a physical file format to contain all of the components (refer to CR #97: "Define a Registry Extension Package model") that can be found in an Extension Package. As a possible starting point, consider existing zip-based file formats, such as KMZ (reference: http://code.google.com/apis/kml/documentation/kmzarchives.html).
Consequences if not approved:	CSW-ebRIM will continue to lack a conenient format for transport of Extension Packages, thus, reducing the value of, and slowing the adoption of this powerful concept.
Clauses affected:	* OGC 07-144r3, CSW-ebRIM Registry Service – Part 2: Basic extension package
Additional Documents affected:	OGC 07-144r3, CSW-ebRIM Registry Service - Part 2: Basic extension package
Supporting Documentation:	
Comments:	
Status:	Assigned
Disposition:	Reffered