All Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Change Request #:	60	
Assigned OGC Document #:	10-042	
Name:	*Simon Cox	
Organization:	*European Commission - Joint Research Centre	
Email:	*simon.cox@jrc.ec.europa.eu	
Document Name/Version:	*OGC Policies and Procedures / r11	
OGC Project Document:	*05-020r10	
If this is a revision of a previous submission and you have a Change Request Number, then check here: Enter the CR number here: Enter the Revsion Number that you are revising here:		
Title: 9	*OGC-NA should review names in OGC standards	
Source:	*JRC (GIGAS/INSPIRE)	
Bource.		
Work item code:		
Category: 9	* B (Addition of feature)	
Reason for change: 9	* Most OGC implementation specification include definitions of persistent names that should be used in deployments conformant to the specification. These include XML Namespaces, URIs for 'definitions', MIME-type declarations, and various abbreviations. This should be reviewed by the OGC-NA prior to publication to ensure consistency with OGC name policies and to avoid clashes with other standards. Consistency is particularly important to enable complex applications and workflows involving multiple OGC standards, and as OGC moves towards better harmonization with generic web standards.	
Summary of change: 9	* Insert new clause after 9.4.5.2 as follows:	

	M.N.O.P Review by the OGC Naming Authority(OGC-NA) Once the TCC has checked the submission, the candidate standard is reviewed by the OGC-NA. The OGC-NA has the responsibility to insure that any names and formal identifiers in the RFC submission are consistent with the naming policies overseen by the OGC-NA and compatible with names provided in other OGC documents.
Consequences if not approved:	Inconsistent naming practices used in different OGC standards.
Clauses affected:	* 9.
Additional Documents affected:	
Supporting Documentation: 9	
Comments:	
Status: 🥹	Assigned
Disposition: 9	Reffered