

OGC® DOCUMENT: 23-000

External identifier of this OGC® document: <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/SensorML/3.0>



Open
Geospatial
Consortium

OGC SENSORML ENCODING STANDARD

STANDARD
Implementation

DRAFT

Version: 3.0.0

Submission Date: yyyy-mm-dd

Approval Date: yyyy-mm-dd

Publication Date: yyyy-mm-dd

Editor: Alexandre Robin

Notice for Drafts: This document is not an OGC Standard. This document is distributed for review and comment. This document is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an OGC Standard. Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

License Agreement

Use of this document is subject to the license agreement at <https://www.ogc.org/license>

Suggested additions, changes and comments on this document are welcome and encouraged. Such suggestions may be submitted using the online change request form on OGC web site: <http://ogc.standardstracker.org/>

Copyright notice

Copyright © 2024 Open Geospatial Consortium

To obtain additional rights of use, visit <https://www.ogc.org/legal>

Note

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| I. ABSTRACT | ix |
| II. KEYWORDS | ix |
| III. PREFACE | x |
| IV. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS | xi |
| V. SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS | xii |
| VI. SUBMITTERS | xii |
| 1. SCOPE | 2 |
| 2. CONFORMANCE | 5 |
| 3. NORMATIVE REFERENCES | 8 |
| 4. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS | 10 |
| 6. CONVENTIONS | 19 |
| 6.1. Identifiers | 19 |
| 6.2. Abbreviated terms | 19 |
| 6.3. UML notation | 20 |
| 7. REQUIREMENTS CLASS: CORE CONCEPTS (NORMATIVE CORE) | 23 |
| 7.1. Introduction | 23 |
| 7.2. Process Definitions | 24 |
| 8. UML CONCEPTUAL MODELS (NORMATIVE) | 28 |
| 8.1. Package Dependencies | 28 |
| 8.2. Requirements Class: Core Abstract Process | 35 |
| 8.3. Requirements Class: Simple Process | 51 |
| 8.4. Requirements Class: Aggregate Process | 54 |
| 8.5. Requirements Class: Physical Component | 57 |
| 8.6. Requirements Class: Physical System | 66 |
| 8.7. Requirements Class: Processes with Advanced Data Types | 69 |
| 8.8. Requirements Class: Configurable Processes | 70 |
| 8.9. Requirements Class: Deployment | 76 |
| 8.10. Requirements Class: Derived Property | 78 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 9. JSON IMPLEMENTATION (NORMATIVE) | 82 |
| 9.1. Requirements Class: Core Schema | 82 |
| 9.2. Requirements Class: Simple Process Schema | 91 |
| 9.3. Requirements Class: Aggregate Process Schema | 93 |
| 9.4. Requirements Class: Physical Component Schema | 95 |
| 9.5. Requirements Class: Physical System Schema | 97 |
| 9.6. Requirements Class: Deployment Schema | 99 |
| 9.7. Requirements Class: Derived Property Schema | 101 |
| ANNEX A (NORMATIVE) CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE | 104 |
| A.1. Core Concepts | 104 |
| A.2. UML Models | 106 |
| A.3. JSON Implementation | 122 |
| ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY | 128 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 130 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1 – Requirements Classes | 5 |
| Table 2 – Deployment Class Properties | 77 |
| Table 3 – DeployedSystem Class Properties | 78 |
| Table 4 – DerivedProperty Class Properties | 79 |
| Table B.1 – Revision History | 128 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1 – UML Notation | 21 |
| Figure 2 – Internal Package Dependencies | 28 |
| Figure 3 – External Package Dependencies - GML | 29 |
| Figure 4 – Models for dependent GML Feature classes | 30 |
| Figure 5 – External Package Dependencies - ISO TC 211 | 31 |
| Figure 6 – ISO 19115 Models for dependent classes. | 32 |
| Figure 7 – External Package Dependencies - SWE Common Data | 33 |
| Figure 8 – Models for dependent SWE Common AbstractDataComponent class. | 34 |
| Figure 9 – DescribedObject with Metadata Properties | 39 |
| Figure 10 – Models for Metadata Elements | 40 |
| Figure 11 – Model for history events | 43 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 12 – UML models for DescribedObject and AbstractProcess | 45 |
| Figure 13 – UML models for process inputs, outputs, and parameters | 46 |
| Figure 14 – Model for Simple Process | 53 |
| Figure 15 – Model for ProcessMethod | 54 |
| Figure 16 – Model for Aggregate Process | 57 |
| Figure 17 – Model for Physical Process Component | 60 |
| Figure 18 – Models for SpatialFrame and PositionUnion | 62 |
| Figure 19 – Pose Data Types | 65 |
| Figure 20 – Model for Physical Processing System | 68 |
| Figure 21 – Model for Modes | 73 |
| Figure 22 – Model for Configured Process Settings | 74 |
| Figure 23 – Model for Settings Elements | 75 |
| Figure 24 – Deployment Class | 77 |
| Figure 25 – DerivedProperty Class | 79 |

LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

| | |
|--|-----|
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 1: CORE CONCEPTS | 23 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 2: CORE ABSTRACT PROCESS | 35 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 3: SIMPLE PROCESS | 51 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 4: AGGREGATE PROCESS | 54 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 5: PHYSICAL COMPONENT | 57 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 6: PHYSICAL SYSTEM | 66 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 7: PROCESSES WITH ADVANCED DATA TYPES | 69 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 8: CONFIGURABLE PROCESSES | 70 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 9 | 76 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 10 | 78 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 11 | 82 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 12 | 91 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 13 | 93 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 14 | 95 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 15 | 97 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 16 | 99 |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 17 | 101 |
| REQUIREMENT 1 | 24 |

| | |
|----------------------|----|
| REQUIREMENT 2 | 25 |
| REQUIREMENT 3 | 25 |
| REQUIREMENT 4 | 25 |
| REQUIREMENT 5 | 25 |
| REQUIREMENT 6 | 36 |
| REQUIREMENT 7 | 36 |
| REQUIREMENT 8 | 37 |
| REQUIREMENT 9 | 37 |
| REQUIREMENT 10 | 38 |
| REQUIREMENT 11 | 38 |
| REQUIREMENT 12 | 46 |
| REQUIREMENT 13 | 47 |
| REQUIREMENT 14 | 48 |
| REQUIREMENT 15 | 49 |
| REQUIREMENT 16 | 50 |
| REQUIREMENT 17 | 50 |
| REQUIREMENT 18 | 51 |
| REQUIREMENT 19 | 51 |
| REQUIREMENT 20 | 52 |
| REQUIREMENT 21 | 52 |
| REQUIREMENT 22 | 55 |
| REQUIREMENT 23 | 55 |
| REQUIREMENT 24 | 56 |
| REQUIREMENT 25 | 56 |
| REQUIREMENT 26 | 58 |
| REQUIREMENT 27 | 59 |
| REQUIREMENT 28 | 63 |
| REQUIREMENT 29 | 63 |
| REQUIREMENT 30 | 63 |
| REQUIREMENT 31 | 63 |
| REQUIREMENT 32 | 66 |
| REQUIREMENT 33 | 67 |
| REQUIREMENT 34 | 67 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| REQUIREMENT 35 | 69 |
| REQUIREMENT 36 | 69 |
| REQUIREMENT 37 | 70 |
| REQUIREMENT 38 | 71 |
| REQUIREMENT 39 | 71 |
| REQUIREMENT 40 | 72 |
| REQUIREMENT 41 | 73 |
| REQUIREMENT 42 | 74 |
| REQUIREMENT 43 | 74 |
| REQUIREMENT 44 | 75 |
| REQUIREMENT 45 | 76 |
| REQUIREMENT 46 | 79 |
| REQUIREMENT 47 | 83 |
| REQUIREMENT 48 | 92 |
| REQUIREMENT 49 | 93 |
| REQUIREMENT 50 | 96 |
| REQUIREMENT 51 | 98 |
| REQUIREMENT 52 | 100 |
| REQUIREMENT 53 | 102 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.1: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE CONCEPTS | 104 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.2: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE ABSTRACT PROCESS | 106 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.3: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: SIMPLE PROCESS | 110 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.4: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: AGGREGATE PROCESS | 112 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.5: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT | 113 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.6: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL SYSTEM | 116 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.7: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PROCESS WITH ADVANCED DATA TYPES | 117 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.8: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CONFIGURABLE PROCESSES | 118 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.9: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DEPLOYMENT | 121 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.10: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DERIVED PROPERTY | 122 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.11: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE SCHEMA | 123 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.12: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: SIMPLE PROCESS SCHEMA | 123 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.13: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: AGGREGATE PROCESS SCHEMA | 124 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.14: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT SCHEMA | 124 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.15: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL SYSTEM SCHEMA | 125 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.16: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DEPLOYMENT SCHEMA | 126 |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.17: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DERIVED PROPERTY SCHEMA | 126 |



ABSTRACT

The primary focus of the Sensor Model Language (SensorML) is to provide a robust and semantically-tied means of defining processes and processing components associated with the measurement and post-measurement transformation of observations. This includes sensors and actuators as well as computational processes applied pre- and post-measurement.

The main objective is to enable interoperability, first at the syntactic level and later at the semantic level (by using ontologies and semantic mediation), so that sensors and processes can be better understood by machines, utilized automatically in complex workflows, and easily shared between intelligent sensor web nodes.

This standard is one of several implementation standards produced under OGC's Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) activity. This standard is a revision of content that was previously integrated in the SensorML version 1.0 standard (OGC 07-000).



KEYWORDS

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

ogcdoc, OGC document, html, SWE, sensor, sensorweb, connected systems, xml, encoding, observation, command, tasking, property



PREFACE

This Standard arises from work undertaken by the Connected Systems Working Group of the OGC, with the aim of modernizing the Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) suite of Standards. The working group is concerned with establishing interfaces and encodings that will enable a “Sensor Web” through which applications and services will be able to access connected systems of all types (e.g. sensors, actuators, robots), the observations generated by them, as well as provide command and control functionalities.

This Standard specifies models and a JSON implementation for the SensorML.

This document deprecates and replaces OGC® Sensor Model Language (SensorML) Specification version 2.1 (OGC 12-000r2).

The main changes of SensorML 3.0 from SensorML version 2.0 are:

- Addition of the JSON encodings and schemas
- Addition of the Deployment class
- Addition of the Derived Property class
- Deprecation of the XML encodings

This release is fully backward compatible with version 2.0.

SensorML is well-suited for describing sensor model imaging geometries – the SensorML 2.0 RFC contains examples of a frame camera sensor model based on the Community Standard Model from NGA (NGA.SIG.0002_2.1). Additional (and more complete) sensor model descriptions are being compiled into a sensor model repository by the OGC Naming Authority, based on work by Gobe Hobona [OGC 18-042r3 (unpublished)]. In addition, work to connect OGC grid coverages to SensorML 2 that began in 2013 is now completed, which involved extending CIS 1.0 [OGC 09-146r2] via the ReferenceableGridCoverage Extension [OGC 16-083r3] to support SensorML 2 descriptions. Version 2.1 of the GMLJP2 imagery standard [OGC 08-083r8] takes advantage of this coverage extension standard to support embedded and externally located SensorML 2 descriptions, thereby giving GMLJP2 the ability to support “raw” sensor model imagery.



SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

No security considerations have been made for this standard.

V

SUBMITTING ORGANIZATIONS

The following organizations submitted this Document to the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC):

- Botts Innovative Research, Inc.
- GeoRobotix, Inc.
- 52° North Initiative for Geospatial Open Source Software GmbH
- National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA)
- Cesium GS, Inc.
- Pelagis Data Solutions

VI

SUBMITTERS

All questions regarding this submission should be directed to the editor or the submitters:

| NAME | AFFILIATION |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alexandre Robin | GeoRobotix, Inc. |
| Christian Autermann | 52° North Initiative |
| Chuck Heazel | Heazeltech |
| Mike Botts | Botts Innovative Research, Inc. |

Additional contributors to this Standard include the following:

| NAME | AFFILIATION |
|---------------|----------------------|
| Arne Broering | 52° North Initiative |
| Eric Hirschon | Eric Hirschon |
| Ingo Simonis | iGSI |

| NAME | AFFILIATION |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Johannes Echterhoff | iGSI |
| Luis Bermudez | SURA |

1

SCOPE

This Standard defines conceptual models and JSON encodings for SensorML. The primary focus of SensorML is to provide a framework for defining processes and processing components associated with the measurement and post-measurement transformation of observations. Thus, SensorML has more of a focus on the process of measurement and observation, rather than on sensor hardware, yet still provides a robust means of defining the physical characteristics and functional capabilities of physical processes such as sensors and actuators.

The aims of SensorML are to:

- Provide descriptions of sensors and sensor systems for inventory management;
- Provide sensor and process information in support of asset and observation discovery;
- Support the processing and analysis of the sensor observations;
- Support the geolocation of observed values (measured data);
- Provide performance and quality of measurement characteristics (e.g., accuracy, threshold, etc.);
- Provide general descriptions of components (e.g., a particular model or type of a sensor) as well as the specific configuration of that component when its deployed;
- Provide a machine interpretable description of the interfaces and data streams flowing in and out of a component;
- Provide an explicit description of the process by which an observation was obtained (i.e., its lineage);
- Provide an executable aggregate process for deriving new data products on demand (i.e., derivable products); and
- Archive fundamental properties and assumptions regarding sensor systems and computational processes

SensorML provides a common framework for any process, but is particularly well-suited for the description of sensor and systems and the processes surrounding sensor observations. Within SensorML, sensor and transducer components (detectors, transmitters, actuators, and filters) are all modeled as physical processes that can be connected and participate equally within a process network or system, and which utilize the same model framework as any other process.

Processes are entities that take one or more inputs and through the application of well-defined methods and configurable parameters and produce one or more outputs. The process model defined in SensorML can be used to describe a wide variety of processes, including not only sensors, but also actuators, spatial transforms, and data processes, to name a few. SensorML also supports explicit linking between processes and thus supports the concept of process

chains, networks, or workflows, which are themselves defined as processes using a composite pattern.

SensorML provides a framework within which the geometric, dynamic, and observational characteristics of sensors and sensor systems can be defined. There are a great variety of sensor types, from simple thermometers to complex electron microscopes and earth observing satellites. These can all be supported through the definition of simple and aggregate processes.

The models and schema within the core SensorML specification provide a “skeletal” framework for describing processes, aggregate processes, and sensor systems. Interoperability within and between various sensor communities, is greatly improved through the definition of shared community-specific semantics (within online dictionaries or ontologies) that can be utilized within the framework. In addition, the profiling of small, general-use, atomic processes that can serve as components within aggregate processes and systems is envisioned.

2

CONFORMANCE

CONFORMANCE

This Standard was written to be compliant with the OGC Specification Model – A Standard for Modular Specification (OGC 08-131r3). Extensions of this Standard shall themselves be conformant to the OGC Specification Model.

This Standard defines conceptual models and a JSON implementation of these models for describing non-physical and physical processes surrounding the act of measurement and subsequent processing of observations. The conceptual models are described using UML while the implementation is described using the JSON Schema language.

This Standard defines the following requirements classes and standardization targets:

Table 1 – Requirements Classes

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | STANDARDIZATION TARGET |
|--|--|
| Core | Derived Models and Software Implementations |
| Clause 7, Requirements Class: Core Concepts (normative core) | |
| UML Models | Software Implementation or Encoding of the Conceptual Models |
| Clause 8.2, Requirements Class: Core Abstract Process | |
| Clause 8.3, Requirements Class: Simple Process | |
| Clause 8.4, Requirements Class: Aggregate Process | |
| Clause 8.5, Requirements Class: Physical Component | |
| Clause 8.6, Requirements Class: Physical System | |
| Clause 8.7, Requirements Class: Processes with Advanced Data Types | |
| Clause 8.8, Requirements Class: Configurable Processes | |
| Clause 8.9, Requirements Class: Deployment | |
| Clause 8.10, Requirements Class: Derived Property | |
| JSON Encodings | JSON Document |
| Clause 9.1, Requirements Class: Core Schema | |

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | STANDARDIZATION TARGET |
|---|------------------------|
| Clause 9.2, Requirements Class: Simple Process Schema | |
| Clause 9.3, Requirements Class: Aggregate Process Schema | |
| Clause 9.4, Requirements Class: Physical Component Schema | |
| Clause 9.5, Requirements Class: Physical System Schema | |
| Clause 9.6, Requirements Class: Deployment Schema | |
| Clause 9.7, Requirements Class: Derived Property Schema | |

Different types of implementations can seek conformance with this OGC® Standard:

- An implementation that defines a new data model shall at least conform with the core requirements class.
- An encoding of the conceptual models (e.g. a protobuf encoding) shall implement at least one of the requirements classes listed in the “UML Models” section of the table.
- An implementation that produces or consumes SensorML descriptions encoded in JSON shall implement at least one of the requirements classes listed in the “JSON Encodings” section of the table.

The conformance classes corresponding to these requirements classes are presented in Annex A (normative). Conformance with this Standard shall be checked using all the relevant tests specified in Annex A. The framework, concepts, and methodology for testing, and the criteria to be achieved to claim conformance are specified in the OGC Compliance Testing Policies and Procedures and the OGC Compliance Testing web site.



3

NORMATIVE REFERENCES

NORMATIVE REFERENCES

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Policy SWG: OGC 08-131r3, *The Specification Model – Standard for Modular specifications*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2009).

SWE Common Data Model Encoding Standard, version 3.0, 2024

Carl Stephen Smyth: OGC 21-056r11, *OGC GeoPose 1.0 Data Exchange Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2023). <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/geopose/1.0.0>.

ISO: ISO 8601:2019, *Date and time – Representations for information interchange – Part 1: Basic rules*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (2019). .. ISO (2019).

ISO: ISO 8601:2019, *Date and time – Representations for information interchange – Part 2: Extensions*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (2019). .. ISO (2019).

ISO: ISO 19103:2005, *Conceptual Schema Language*. ISO (2005).

ISO: ISO 19107:2003, *Geographic information – Spatial schema*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (2003). <https://www.iso.org/standard/26012.html>.

ISO: ISO 19108:2002, *Geographic information – Temporal schema*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (2002). <https://www.iso.org/standard/26013.html>.

ISO: ISO 19111:2007, *Geographic information – Spatial referencing by coordinates*. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (2007). <https://www.iso.org/standard/41126.html>.

Unified Code for Units of Measure (UCUM), Version 2.1, November 2017, <https://ucum.org/ucum>

T. Bray (ed.): IETF RFC 8259, *The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format*. RFC Publisher (2017). <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259>.

M. Nottingham: IETF RFC 8288, *Web Linking*. RFC Publisher (2017). <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8288>.

JSON Schema Validation: A Vocabulary for Structural Validation of JSON, Version 2020-12, <https://json-schema.org/draft/2020-12/json-schema-validation.html>



4

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

This document uses the terms defined in [OGC Policy Directive 49](#), which is based on the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards. In particular, the word “shall” (not “must”) is the verb form used to indicate a requirement to be strictly followed to conform to this document and OGC documents do not use the equivalent phrases in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

This document also uses terms defined in the OGC Standard for Modular specifications ([OGC 08-131r3](#)), also known as the ‘ModSpec’. The definitions of terms such as standard, specification, requirement, and conformance test are provided in the ModSpec.

For the purposes of this document, the following additional terms and definitions apply.

4.1. Actuator

A type of transducer that converts a signal to some real-world action or phenomenon.

4.2. Aggregate Process

Composite process consisting of interconnected sub-processes, which can in turn be Simple Processes or themselves Aggregate Processes. An aggregate process can include possible data sources. A description of an aggregate process should explicitly define connections that link input and output signals of sub-processes together. Since it is a process itself, an aggregate process also has its own inputs, outputs and parameters.

4.3. Coordinate Reference System (CRS)

A spatial or temporal framework within which a position and/or time can be defined. According to ISO 19111, a coordinate system that is related to the real world by a datum.

4.4. Coordinate System (CS)

According to ISO19111, a set of (mathematical) rules for specifying how coordinates are assigned to points. In this document, a Coordinate System is extended to be defined as a set of axes with which location and orientation can be defined.

4.5. Data Component

Element of sensor data definition corresponding to an atomic or aggregate data type.

Note 1 to entry: A data component is a part of the overall dataset definition. The dataset structure can then be seen as a hierarchical tree of data components.

4.6. Datum

Undefined in ISO 19111. Defined here as a means of relating a coordinate system to the real world by specifying the physical location of the coordinate system and the orientation of the axes relative to the physical object. For a geodetic datum, the definition also includes a reference ellipsoid that approximates the physical or gravitational surface of the planetary body.

4.7. Detector

Atomic part of a composite Measurement System defining sampling and response characteristic of a simple detection device. A detector has only one input and one output, both being scalar quantities. More complex Sensors, such as a frame camera, which are composed of multiple detectors, can be described as a detector group or array using a System or Sensor model.

4.8. Determinand

A Parameter or a characteristic of a phenomenon subject to observation. Synonym for observable.[O&M]

4.9. Feature

Abstraction of real-world phenomena [ISO 19101:2002, definition 4.11].

Note 1 to entry: A feature may occur as a type or an instance. Feature type or feature instance should be used when only one is meant.

4.10. Location

A point or extent in space relative to a coordinate system. For point-based systems, this is typically expressed as a set of n-dimensional coordinates within the coordinate system. For bodies, this is typically expressed by relating the translation of the origin of an object's local coordinate system with respect to the origin of an external reference coordinate system.

4.11. Location Model

A model that allows one to locate objects in one local reference frame relative to another reference frame.

4.12. Measurand

Physical parameter or a characteristic of a phenomenon subject to a measurement, whose value is described using a Measure (ISO 19103). Subset of determinand or observable. [O&M]

4.13. Measure (noun)

Value described using a numeric amount with a scale or using a scalar reference system [ISO/TS 19103]. When used as a noun, measure is a synonym for physical quantity

4.14. Measurement (noun)

An observation whose result is a measure [O&M]

4.15. Measurement (verb)

An instance of a procedure to estimate the value of a natural phenomenon, typically involving an instrument or sensor. This is implemented as a dynamic feature type, which has a property containing the result of the measurement. The measurement feature also has a location, time, and reference to the method used to determine the value. A measurement feature effectively binds a value to a location and to a method or instrument.

4.16. Multiplexed Data Stream

A data stream that consists of disparate but well-defined data packets within the same stream.

4.17. Observable, Observable Property (noun)

A parameter or a characteristic of a phenomenon subject to observation. Synonym for determinand.[O&M] A physical property of a phenomenon that can be observed and measured (e.g., temperature, gravitational force, position, chemical concentration, orientation, number-of-individuals, physical switch status, etc.), or a characteristic of one or more feature types, the value for which must be estimated by application of some procedure in an observation. It is thus a physical stimulus that can be sensed by a detector or created by an actuator.

4.18. Observation

Act of observing a property or phenomenon [ISO 19156, definition 4.10].

Note 1 to entry: The goal of an observation may be to measure, estimate or otherwise determine the value of a property.

4.19. Observation Procedure

Method, algorithm or instrument, or system of these which may be used in making an observation [ISO 19156, definition 4.11].

Note 1 to entry: In the context of the sensor web, an observation procedure is often composed of one or more sensors that transform a real world phenomenon into digital information, plus additional processing steps.

4.20. Observed Value

A value describing a natural phenomenon, which may use one of a variety of scales including nominal, ordinal, ratio and interval. The term is used regardless of whether the value is due to an instrumental observation, a subjective assignment or some other method of estimation or assignment. [O&M]

4.21. Orientation

The rotational relationship of an object relative to an external coordinate system. Typically expressed by relating the rotation of an object's local coordinate axes relative to those axes of an external reference coordinate system.

4.22. Phenomenon

A physical state that can be observed and its properties measured.

4.23. Physical System

An aggregate model of a group or array of process components, which can include detectors, actuators, or sub-systems. A Physical System relates an Aggregate Process to the real world and therefore provides additional definitions regarding relative positions of its components and communication interfaces.

4.24. Position

The location and orientation of an object relative to an external coordinate system. For body-based systems (in lieu of point-based systems) is typically expressed by relating the object's local coordinate system to an external reference coordinate system. This definition is in contrast to some definitions (e.g., ISO 19107) which equate position to location.

4.25. Process

An operation that takes one or more inputs, and based on a set of parameters, and a methodology generates one or more outputs.

4.26. Process Method

Definition of the algorithm, behaviour, and interface of a Process.

4.27. Property

Facet or attribute of an object referenced by a name. [ISO 19143:2010]

EXAMPLE: Abby's car has the color red, where "color" is a property of the car instance, and "red" is the value of that property.

4.28. Reference Frame

A coordinate system by which the position (location and orientation) of an object can be referenced.

4.29. Result

An estimate of the value of some property generated by a known procedure [O&M]

4.30. Sample

A representative subset of the physical entity on which an observation is made.

4.31. Sensor

An entity capable of observing a phenomenon and returning an observed value. Type of observation procedure that provides the estimated value of an observed property at its output.

Note 1 to entry: A sensor uses a combination of physical, chemical or biological means in order to estimate the underlying observed property. At the end of the measuring chain electronic devices often produce signals to be processed.

4.32. Sensor Model

In line with traditional definitions of the remote sensing community, a sensor model is a type of Location Model that allows one to georegister or co-register observations from a sensor (particularly remote sensors).

4.33. Sensor Data

List of digital values produced by a sensor that represents estimated values of one or more observed properties of one or more features.

Note 1 to entry: Sensor data is usually available in the form of data streams or computer files.

4.34. Sensor-Related Data

List of digital values produced by a sensor that contains ancillary information that is not directly related to the value of observed properties

EXAMPLE: sensor status, quality of measure, quality of service, battery life, etc. Such data can be sent in the same data stream with measured values and when measured is sometimes indistinguishable from sensor data.

4.35. (Sensor) Platform

An entity to which can be attached sensors or other platforms. A platform has an associated local coordinate reference frame that can be referenced relative to an external coordinate reference frame and to which the reference frames of attached sensors

4.36. Transducer

An entity that receives a signal as input and generates a modified signal as output. Includes detectors, actuators, and filters.

4.37. Value

A member of the value-space of a datatype. A value may use one of a variety of scales including nominal, ordinal, ratio and interval, spatial and temporal. Primitive datatypes may be combined to form aggregate datatypes with aggregate values, including vectors, tensors and images [ISO11404].

6

CONVENTIONS

CONVENTIONS

This section provides details and examples for any conventions used in the document. Examples of conventions are symbols, abbreviations, use of XML schema, or special notes regarding how to read the document.

6.1. Identifiers

The normative provisions in this standard are denoted by the URI

<http://www.opengis.net/spec/sensorML/3.0>

All requirements and conformance tests that appear in this document are denoted by partial URIs which are relative to this base.

6.2. Abbreviated terms

In this document the following abbreviations and acronyms are used or introduced:


- CRS: Coordinate Reference System
- DN: Digital Number
- ECEF: Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed
- ECI: Earth Centered Inertial
- GPS: Global Positioning System
- ISO: International Organization for Standardization
- MISB: Motion Imagery Standards Board
- OGC: Open Geospatial Consortium
- SAS: Sensor Alert Service
- SensorML: Sensor Model Language
- SI: Système International (International System of Units)
- SOS: Sensor Observation Service
- SPS: Sensor Planning Service

- SWE: Sensor Web Enablement
- TAI: Temps Atomique International (International Atomic Time)
- uom: Unit(s) of measure
- UCUM: Unified Code for Units of Measure
- UML: Unified Modeling Language
- UTC: Coordinated Universal Time
- XML: eXtended Markup Language
- 1D: One Dimensional
- 2D: Two Dimensional
- 3D: Three Dimensional


6.3. UML notation

The diagrams that appear in this standard are presented using the Unified Modeling Language (UML) static structure diagram. The UML notations used in this standard are described in the diagram below.

Association between classes




Association cardinality



Aggregation between classes



Class inheritance



Composition between classes



Figure 1 – UML Notation

7

REQUIREMENTS CLASS: CORE CONCEPTS (NORMATIVE CORE)

REQUIREMENTS CLASS: CORE CONCEPTS (NORMATIVE CORE)

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 1: CORE CONCEPTS

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/core |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Model, Encoding, and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.1: /conf/core |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENTS | Requirement 1: /req/core/concepts-used Requirement 2: /req/core/processes Requirement 3: /req/core/uniqueID Requirement 4: /req/core/metadata Requirement 5: /req/core/execution |

7.1. Introduction

In SensorML, all components are modeled as processes. This includes components normally viewed as hardware, such as detectors, actuators, and physical processors (which are viewed as physical components) and sensors and platforms (which are viewed as physical systems). All components are modeled as processes that receive input and through the application of an algorithm defined by a method and set parameter values, generate output. All such components can therefore participate in process networks (or aggregate processes). Aggregate processes are themselves processes with their own inputs, outputs, and parameters.

Hence, SensorML can be viewed as a specialized process description language with an emphasis on application to sensor data. Process descriptions in SensorML are agnostic of the environment in which they might be executed, or the protocol by which data is exchanged between process execution modules.

In order to support the use of SensorML within specialized applications (e.g., processing centers or image processing software), the SensorML models and encodings have been divided into several conformance classes. Thus, if one wishes to use SensorML for computation processes only, the software only needs to conform to the requirements for non-physical processes. Similarly, by only adhering to the Simple Process conformance class, a piece of software can describe internal processes using SensorML while supporting chaining of these processes in a proprietary way.

However, all derived model and encodings based on SensorML must implement the core concepts of SensorML, regardless of whether they deal strictly with non-physical computational processes or sensor systems.

REQUIREMENT 1

IDENTIFIER /req/core/concepts-used

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 1: /req/core

STATEMENT Any derived model or encoding shall correctly implement the modeling concepts defined in the core of this specification.

7.2. Process Definitions

In SensorML, all relevant components are modeled as processes, including both computation and physical processes (e.g., detectors, actuators, and sensor systems). Processes in SensorML are conceptually divided into two types: (1) those that are physical processes, such as detectors, actuators, and sensor systems, where information regarding their positions may be relevant, and (2) non-physical or “pure” processes which can be treated as merely mathematical operations or functions.

Examples

For a process representing the standard linear equation, x would be the input, m and b the parameters, y the output, and the equation $y = mx + b$ would define the methodology.

For a detector, the input would typically be a physical stimulus (or observable property), the parameters might include a calibration curve and other factors that affect the measurement, and the output would be a digital number representing some quantity representation of that observed property.

Fundamentally, a process is a physical or computational operation that may receive input and based on configurable parameters and a methodology, generate output.

Inputs and outputs may be digital numbers or physical stimuli (i.e., observable properties of the environment). Parameters can be variable or constant, but they don't typically vary at the same frequency as the input values. In essence, however, parameters can be viewed as just another input into the process that is either fixed or changes less frequently than inputs

A process can consist of a single atomic operation, or an explicitly defined network of operations (e.g., an aggregate process or system).

Any process must have a definable method of operation. In the case of an aggregate process or physical system, the explicit description of the process components and the flow of data between them will itself serve as the process methodology.

REQUIREMENT 2

IDENTIFIER /req/core/processes

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 1: /req/core

STATEMENT The core model for a process shall define inputs, outputs, parameters, and methodology of that process.

Any process description must provide a unique ID that can be used for discovery of that process and for retrieving the definition of that process.

REQUIREMENT 3

IDENTIFIER /req/core/uniqueID

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 1: /req/core

STATEMENT The core model for a process shall include a unique ID for distinguishing that process from all others

To be useful, the core process model shall include metadata about the process that aid in identification, discovery, and qualification of the process but do not themselves affect the execution of the process.

REQUIREMENT 4

IDENTIFIER /req/core/metadata

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 1: /req/core

STATEMENT The core model for a process shall include metadata that support identification, discovery, and qualification of the process.

REQUIREMENT 5

IDENTIFIER /req/core/execution

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 1: /req/core

REQUIREMENT 5

STATEMENT The metadata descriptions for a process shall not be required for successful execution of that process. All information required for execution of a simple process shall be contained within the inputs, outputs, parameters, and methodology descriptions of the process.

Process definitions can support general representations of a process or a specific instance of a process.

Examples

A general process for the linear equation would define the allowable inputs, outputs, and parameters. A specific instance of the process might define constant values for the parameters.

An example of a general physical process would be the manufacturer's description of the characteristics and configurable options for a particular model of a sensor (i.e., one that describes the common characteristics of all instances of that model of sensor). The description of a specific instance of that model of sensor would include information that is relevant to that particular instance of the sensor (e.g., serial number, owner's name, location, etc.).



8

UML CONCEPTUAL MODELS (NORMATIVE)

UML CONCEPTUAL MODELS (NORMATIVE)

This standard defines normative UML models with which derived encoding models as well as all future separate extensions should be compliant. The standardization target type for the UML requirements classes defined in this clause is thus a software implementation or an encoding model that directly implements the conceptual models defined in this standard.

8.1. Package Dependencies

The packages defined by the SensorML Model and their dependencies are shown in the figure below:




Figure 2 – Internal Package Dependencies

SensorML also has dependencies on several external packages defined within other standards, namely GML 3.2, ISO 19103, ISO 19108, ISO 19111, and ISO 19115, as described below.

8.1.1. Dependency on GML Feature Model and ISO TC 211 Models

A process represents a feature type as defined by the ISO General Feature Model and is thus modeled as an instance of the *metaclass* GF_FeatureType (ISO 19109:2006). This association of

SensorML process with GF_FeatureType primarily brings recognition of SensorML processes as features and provides important identity properties.




Figure 3 – External Package Dependencies – GML

The base feature classes in GML from which all processes in SensorML derive include AbstractGML and AbstractFeature, as shown in the figure below:




Figure 4 – Models for dependent GML Feature classes

SensorML is dependent on ISO 19108:206 for Temporal Schema. In particular, the temporal elements, TM_Instant and TM_Period are used within the core SensorML model for Abstract Process. Additionally, SensorML depends on ISO 19115 for general metadata elements.




Figure 5 – External Package Dependencies – ISO TC 211

The SensorML standard utilizes the ISO 19115 models for common metadata properties such as citations, online resources, responsible party, and constraints. While Version 1.0 of SensorML defined encoding based on the ISO 19115 models, this version utilizes these models directly.




Figure 6 – ISO 19115 Models for dependent classes.

8.1.2. Dependency on SWE Common Data Models

In particular, SensorML is heavily dependent on the SWE Common Data Model standard for defining inputs, outputs, and parameters, as well as for specifying characteristics, capabilities, interfaces, and event properties. The SWE Common Data Models, which were originally defined within the version 1.0 SensorML specification, are in version 2.1 defined as a separate specification and are utilized throughout the SWE family of encoding and web service specifications.




Figure 7 – External Package Dependencies - SWE Common Data

The SWE Common specification provides a flexible yet robust means of defining data types and data values, including support for simple data types such as Quantity, Boolean, Category, Count, Text, and Time, as well as aggregate data such as DataRecord, DataArray, Vector, and Matrix. Additionally, SWE Common supports the concept of DataChoice, which will be utilized by SensorML for providing multiplexed messages in data streams and configurable options for processes and physical systems.

The data models in SWE Common provide additional properties than are provided by basic data types, including for example, units of measure (uom), quality indications, allowable constraints, significant digit counts, and in particular, the meaning and semantics of a data component. Both simple and aggregate data components in SWE Common allow for unambiguous definition of that data component through a resolvable link to an online dictionary or ontology. The definition of the SWE Common Data Models can be found in OGC 08-094r1.

The main objective of SWE Common Data Models is to achieve interoperability, first at the syntactic level, and later at the semantic level (by using ontologies and semantic mediation) so

that sensor data can be better understood by machines, processed automatically in complex workflows, and easily shared between intelligent sensor web nodes.

SensorML depends heavily on the AbstractDataComponent element defined in SWE Common. This element serves as the base component from which all relevant data types in SWE Common are derived, including Quantity, Count, Category, Boolean, Text, DataRecord, DataArray, Vector, Matrix, and DataChoice. AbstractDataComponent thus serves as a substitution group that any of these data types can satisfy. AbstractSWEIdentifiable will serve as the basis for the ObservableProperty element defined in this specification (Section 7.2.1).

The model for the SWE Common AbstractDataComponent is given in the figure below:




Figure 8 – Models for dependent SWE Common AbstractDataComponent class.

8.1.3. Relationship to Observations and Measurements (O&M)

Conceptual models for Observations and Measurements are provided by ISO 19156, which also provides models for sampling feature types. XML Schema encodings of these models are provided by the OGC Observations and Measurements XML Implementation Document (OGC 10-025). The model for Observation defines a procedure of type AbstractFeature which references or describes the origin of the observation (i.e., how the observation came to be).

SensorML has an association to the O&M models but no direct dependencies on them. The result of a SensorML process is typically considered to be an observation result if it is measuring or deriving some value of a physical property or phenomenon. Thus, the output values described in SensorML and resulting from a sensor or process may be packaged in an O&M Observation object or provided as a SWE Common DataStream. Inversely, the procedure property within an Observation instance may reference a SensorML description of the measurement process.

8.2. Requirements Class: Core Abstract Process

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 2: CORE ABSTRACT PROCESS | |
|---|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/coreProcess |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.2: /conf/model/coreProcess |
| PREREQUISITES | Requirements class 1: /req/core http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/3.0/req/uml-record-components ISO 19115:2006 (All Metadata) ISO 19136 (GML) |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENTS | Requirement 6: /req/model/coreProcess/dependency-core Requirement 7: /req/model/coreProcess/package-fully-implemented Requirement 8: /req/model/coreProcess/gmlDependency Requirement 9: /req/model/coreProcess/uniqueID Requirement 10: /req/model/coreProcess/extensionIndependence Requirement 11: /req/model/coreProcess/extensionRestrictions Requirement 12: /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency1 Requirement 13: /req/model/coreProcess/aggregateData Requirement 14: /req/model/coreProcess/typeOf Requirement 15: /req/model/coreProcess/simpleInheritance Requirement 16: /req/model/coreProcess/configuration Requirement 17: /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency2 |

All major classes in SensorML are based on a process model, as presented in the core concepts. Processes are features as defined in ISO 19109:2006 and modeled in GML 3.2. SensorML also supports interoperable discovery, identification, and qualification of these processes through the definition of a standard collection of metadata.

REQUIREMENT 6

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/dependency-core

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT An encoding or software passing the “Core Abstract Process” model conformance class shall first pass the “Core Concepts” conformance test class.

REQUIREMENT 7

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT An encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Core” package and described in this section

8.2.1. ObservableProperty

An ObservableProperty is a physical property of a phenomenon that can be observed and measured (e.g., temperature, gravitational force, position, chemical concentration, orientation, number-of-individuals, physical switch status, etc.), or a characteristic of one or more feature types, the value for which must be estimated by application of some procedure in an observation. It is thus a physical stimulus that can be sensed by a detector or created by an actuator.

Examples

The ObservableProperty element allows one to reference a measurable property of a phenomenon or feature for detector inputs or actuator outputs. For example, the temperature of the atmosphere is an ObservableProperty. Before measurement, it is simply a property of the atmosphere that can be defined and measured. After measurement by a detector, the temperature may be represented as a Quantity with units of measure, a value, and an indication of our degree of confidence in the measurement.

ObservableProperty is derived as a concrete instance of the SWE Common AbstractSWEIdentifiable and adds the definition property to this model. It will be used as a potential input (e.g., for detectors), output (e.g., for actuators), and for parameters (e.g., for a sensor whose measurement varies with fluctuations of atmospheric pressure on a diaphragm).

In ObservableProperty the phenomenon property will be defined by reference using the definition attribute. The definition attribute value will reference a property defined within a dictionary or ontology. An ObservableProperty may also include a name and a description. However, unlike the simple data types in SWE Common, an ObservableProperty does NOT include the properties uom, quality, or constraints, since these are typically characteristics of the measuring procedure and not properties of the observable phenomenon itself.

8.2.2. DescribedObject

As shown in the UML model below, the DescribedObject class provides a specific set of metadata for all process classes in SensorML. Since DescribedObject is itself derived from GML AbstractFeature, all processes in SensorML are themselves features, which conforms to the conceptual models for processes as stated in Section 6.

REQUIREMENT 8

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/gmlDependency

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT DescribedObject shall derive from the GML base class, AbstractFeature, and is thus modeled as a feature with well-defined metadata properties. Any model or encoding derived from DescribedObject shall thus be of type featureType.

The GML AbstractFeature inheritance provides a unique ID, and support for multiple names and a description. The unique ID in SensorML will be supported by a single gml:identifier property inherited from GML AbstractFeature.

REQUIREMENT 9

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/uniqueID

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT A single, required gml:identifier property inherited from GML AbstractFeature shall be used to provide a unique ID for the DescribedObject.

Metadata about each process is essential to supporting identification, discovery, and qualification of the process. Metadata is provided by the base class, DescribedObject, from which AbstractProcess is derived. While these metadata may provide relevant information to understand quality of output from the process, the values of properties within the DescribedObject should not be required for execution of the process. The model for the DescribedObject is shown in Figure 9, while the models for the individual property values are provided in either Figure 10 or in the ISO 19115 models in Figure 11.

The DescribedObject includes several descriptive properties that support rapid discovery (keywords, identification, and classification), constraints (validTime, securityConstraints, legalConstraints), qualification (characteristics and capabilities), references (contacts and documentation), and history. These are each grouped in lists, which provide for easy separation and parsing of these properties.

8.2.2.1. Extension Property

The extension property allows one to add domain or community-specific content to a DescribedObject instance. This might include, for example, security taggings, vendor or community-specific metadata, or information encoded in other models or schema. Extension properties must exist in a separate namespace and SensorML-compliant software is not required to understand or utilize the information contained within the extension property.

The constraints on the extension property include: (a) the extension model must be defined in a separate namespace, (b) the information added by the extension model must not be required for execution of the process, and © SensorML-compliant parsers may parse and utilize the information within these extensions but they are not required to do so in order to be compliant to the SensorML standard.

REQUIREMENT 10

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/extensionIndependence

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT Models inside of the extension property must exist within a namespace other than SensorML.

REQUIREMENT 11

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/extensionRestrictions

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT Information provided inside of the extension property must not be required for execution of the process and shall not alter the execution of the process.




Figure 9 – DescribedObject with Metadata Properties




Figure 10 – Models for Metadata Elements

8.2.2.2. Keywords

Keywords provide a simple means of discovery using short tokens that may be recognized by the general audience or specific communities. Keywords are unqualified terms in that they are not necessarily required to be related to a specific codespace or ontology, as are classifiers and identifiers.

8.2.2.3. Identifiers

The identifier property takes a Term as its value. The Term has a definition attribute that specifies in this case the type of identifier, while the codeSpace attribute specifies that the value of the identifier is according to the rules or enumerations of a particular authority.

Examples

An identifier with a definition of “http://sensors.ws/def/tailNumber” might take “N291PV” as its value based on the codespace of a US Air Force rules dictionary. Other possible definitions for identifiers might include, for example, shortName, longName, acronym, missionID, processorID, serialNumber, manufacturerID, or partNumber.

The identification properties should be considered as information suitable for the discovery applications.

8.2.2.4. Classifiers

The classifier property provides a list of possible classifiers that might aid in the rapid discovery or organization of processes, sensors, or sensor systems. The classifier properties should be considered as information suitable for the discovery and categorization applications.

Examples

Definitions for a classifier Term might include, for instance, sensorType, observableType, processType, intendedApplication, or missionType.

8.2.2.5. Security Constraints

The model for specification of security constraints shall be based on external security models, such as the Security Banner Marking model of the Intelligence Community Information Security Marking (IC ISM) Standard. The securityConstraints property takes a value of xs:Any which allows various communities and countries to utilize their standard XML encoding for security tags. This security constraint is for the overall document. As will be discussed in the XML encoding, extension points provided with SWE Common Data elements will allow security tagging for individual properties or property aggregates.

Examples

One can specify the overall security classification of the entire document using the Intelligence Community Information Security Banner Marking (IC ISM) standard or using ISO 19115 MD_Constraints. For tagging individual sections in the document, the SensorML standard allows for security tagging of properties using an extension property, as describe in later sections of the standard.

8.2.2.6. Valid Time Constraint

The validTime property indicates the time instance or time range over which this process description is valid. Time constraints are important for processes in which parameter values or operation modes may change with time, or instrument deployment times change.

Examples

Several SensorML documents can exist for the same sensor or system description but with different validity periods. This allows for capturing the configuration of a sensor at different times and, along with the history section, is the basis for maintaining history of the sensor’s

description. Alternately, parameter values can be provided as a time-tagged series of values accounting for changes.

8.2.3. Legal Constraint

The legalConstraints property is based on ISO 19115 and specifies whether such legal and ethical considerations as privacy acts, intellectual property rights, copyrights, or scientific publication ethics apply to the content of the process description and its use.

8.2.4. Capabilities

The capabilities property is intended for the definition of properties that further qualify the input or output of the process, component, or system for the purpose of discovery. These properties are defined using one or more SWE Common DataRecord elements.

Once a user has identified candidate sensors or processes based on the classifiers described above, the capabilities parameters might prove useful for further filtering of processes or sensor system during this discovery stage. Thus, the capabilities properties should be considered as information suitable for the discovery process.

Examples

A particular remote sensor on a satellite might measure radiation between a certain spectral range (e.g., 700 to 900 nanometers) at a particular ground resolution (e.g., 5 meter), and with a typical spatial repeat period (e.g., 3.25 – 4.3 days). Alternatively, a particular process might have certain quality constraints. Any process may have certain limits (e.g., operational and survivable limits), based on physical or mathematical conditions. These properties do affect the output of the process and should be considered as capabilities.

8.2.5. Characteristics

A physical or non-physical process may have characteristics that may not directly qualify the output. These properties are defined using one or more SWE Common DataRecord elements.

Examples

A component may have certain physical measurements such as dimensions and weight and be constructed of a particular material. A component may have particular power demands, or anticipated lifetime. These are characteristics of the component that may not directly affect the output of the component or system.

The characteristics properties may or may not be considered as information suitable for the discovery process.

8.2.6. Contacts

Contact information can provide access to manufacturers, system experts, equipment owners, or any other persons responsible in some way for design, deployment, maintenance, or additional

information regarding the DescribedObject. The contact property within the ContactList takes the ISO 19115 classes CI_ResponsibleParty as its values.

8.2.7. Documentation

Documentation can be provided which provides further clarification about the DescribedObject. This might include technical manuals, manufacturer brochures, journal references, or theoretical-basis documents. The DocumentList document property takes the ISO 19115 CI_OnlineResource as its value.

8.2.8. History

Within SensorML, the history of a process can be provided through a collection of Event objects. These are provided within an EventList that serves as the value of the history property. Events might for instance, specify calibration or maintenance history of a sensor, changes to an algorithm or parameter within a computational process, or deployment and maintenance events.




Figure 11 – Model for history events

8.2.9. AbstractProcess

As discussed in the Core Concepts, the major elements of SensorML are modeled as physical and non-physical processes. All SensorML process elements shall derive from AbstractProcess, shown in Figure 12. The class AbstractProcess itself derives from the DescribedObject class and thus inherits a wide range of optional metadata supporting discovery, identification, and qualification and an option for domain and community-specific extensions. In addition to the metadata provided by DescribedObject, the AbstractProcess includes the properties of inputs, outputs, and parameters, as required by the process model defined in the Core Concepts, as well as the properties typeOf, featureOfInterest, configuration, and modes which will be discussed below.




Figure 12 – UML models for DescribedObject and AbstractProcess

8.2.9.1. Inputs, Outputs, and Parameters

As discussed in the Core Concepts, any process can have inputs, outputs, and parameters. Processes typically receive input and based on the parameter settings and methodology, generate output. Some processes, such as detectors, receive physical stimulus as input and generate digital numbers as output. In such cases, the input would be represented as an ObservableProperty, and the output as a DataComponent (e.g., a Quantity). If this output is encoded and accessible directly, then the output can be represented as a DataInterface.

Examples

A digital thermometer is stimulated by an observable property of the environment (temperature), which is modelled as its input (ObservableProperty), and outputs a digital number (Quantity) that represents a measure of that property.

Thus, an AbstractProcess model supports the inputs, outputs, and parameters properties in conformance with the Core Concepts. These properties can accept ObservableProperty or SWE Common elements AbstractDataComponent or DataStream as their values. Classes derived from AbstractDataComponent include Quantity, Count, Category, Boolean, Text, and Time, as well as ranges and aggregates of these simple data types.




Figure 13 – UML models for process inputs, outputs, and parameters

The core process model will utilize the SWE Common Data Models for defining inputs, outputs, and parameters, as well as for other metadata properties. SensorML models are required to support the SWE Common Data Model up to the Block Components Requirements Class, but many instances of SensorML will find ALL conformance levels of SWE Common Data to be useful, including binary encodings.

REQUIREMENT 12

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency1

REQUIREMENT 12

**INCLUDED
IN**

Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT

Any derived model or encoding for process shall utilize ObservableProperty or SWE Common Data Components as values for inputs, outputs, and parameters, and shall at a minimum conform to the SWE Common Data “Block Components Package” class (<http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-block-components>).

The input, output, or parameters of many processes include multiple values, possibly of different data types, that are tightly related to one another. Sometimes referred to as tuples or records, these data aggregates can consist of values that are perhaps meaningless without the other associated values (e.g., the coordinates within a spatial reference system), or provide a more complete understanding because of their association with one another (e.g., a set of measured values taken by a sensor at a given time). Such data shall be modelled using the aggregate data types defined by the SWE Common Data standard.

Examples

The location of a dynamic object can be specified through the aggregate values of time, latitude, longitude, and altitude. In such cases, the expression of one of the values separate from the others is meaningless or less complete than the expression of these values as a set or aggregate. These four values should be encapsulated in a Vector data type that also identifies the reference frame in which the latitude, longitude and altitude coordinates are expressed

Weather stations often express a set of measurements of the atmosphere as a single record that might include for instance temperature, pressure, relative humidity, cloudiness, wind speed, and wind direction. These would be considered a tuple of values that provides a more complete picture of the environment at a particular time. This tuple should be modeled as a DataRecord with 7 fields (one for each measured parameters listed above + one time stamp) to indicate that the sampling time applies to all observable values included in the record.

REQUIREMENT 13

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/aggregateData

**INCLUDED
IN**

Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT

Multiple input, output, and parameter values that are tightly related with one another shall be modelled as a SWE Common Data aggregate data type.

8.2.9.2. Feature of Interest

Most sensors and many non-physical processes have been deployed or implemented with a focus on one or more features of interest. Within SensorML, the primary purpose of including

a FeatureOfInterest property for AbstractProcess is to support discovery as well as to further clarify the intended purpose of the physical or non-physical process.

Examples

The features of interest of an installed web camera might include a particular building, a particular street, or a general area of observation surrounding the camera. Features of interest for other sensors might include the Gulf of Mexico, a particular drilling well, the atmosphere surrounding a particular weather station, a particular patient, or a particular automobile. Features of interest for a model or other process might include a particular river basin, a particular toxic plume release, or a particular metropolitan area.

8.2.9.3. Inheritance, Extension, and Configuration

SensorML supports the concepts of inheritance, extension, and configuration. In other words, generalized base processes can be described in SensorML and then that description can be augmented or further constrained by one or more separate descriptions. Thus, a single, generalized description of a physical or non-physical process can serve as a basis for one or many more specific process descriptions. This provides support for more simple and concise process descriptions while also providing the ability for the user or application to “drill down” to greater and greater detail as desired.

The inheritance model will support two cases:

- Simple inheritance – the specific process description provides only additional information to the description of the general process, without modifying or restricting any property values of the general process.
- Configuration – the specific process description is able to set or restrict property values within the allowable range provided by the general process description, as well as provide additional information.

The key to inheritance, extension, and configuration of a process lies in the typeOf property, by which a specific process can reference its more general base process. The typeOf property takes as its value any process model derived from AbstractProcess. This will be “by-reference-only” meaning that the value must be in the form of a resolvable link to another process instance.

REQUIREMENT 14

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/typeOf

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT A process that is a specific instance of another process shall reference the more general process through its typeOf property. The value of the typeOf property shall be a resolvable link to an instance of a process derived from AbstractProcess.

8.2.9.3.1. Simple Inheritance

In the simple inheritance model, a process (referred to as the “specific process”) inherits and augments information from another process (referred to as the “general process”).

Examples

An Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) provides a description of a particular model of their sensor that would define inputs, outputs, and parameters, as well as perhaps capabilities, characteristics, manufacturer contact information and documentation relevant to that model. Thousands of sensors of this model type may of course be manufactured and sold by the OEM. When one purchases and deploys an instance of that model of sensor, the owner can then reference the OEM’s description of the model and provide additional information that’s specific to his specific instance of the sensor. Additional information might include, for example, serial number, owner’s contact information, the sensor’s location, calibration data, and the interface description for accessing the data.

The simple inheritance model is fully supported in the Core Process conformance class and will be supported solely through the use of the `typeOf` property within the specific process. The `typeOf` property within the specific process will reference the general process through a resolvable reference.

REQUIREMENT 15

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/simpleInheritance

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT A process instance that references another process through the `typeOf` property, but does not include the configuration property, shall inherit properties of the referenced process through simple inheritance. The complete description of that process is thus the addition of information from both process descriptions.

8.2.9.3.2. Support for Configurable Processes

A configurable process is one that includes options or choices that can be selected, restricted, or enabled during deployment, operation, or execution of that process.

Examples

An Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) can provide a description of a particular model of their sensor that would define inputs, outputs, and parameters, as well as perhaps capabilities, characteristics, manufacturer contact information and documentation relevant to that model. In addition, the OEM enables an individual instance of that model of sensor to be configured by providing options for setting parameter values, setting modes, or choosing a particular interface. Thousands of sensors of this model type may of course be manufactured and sold by the OEM.

When one purchases and deploys an instance of that model of sensor, the owner can then reference the OEM’s description of the model and provide additional information that’s specific to that particular instance of the sensor. In addition, the owner can configure the sensor by setting values, selecting modes, and enabling particular interfaces. These settings would be provided in the instance description.

The configuration model will utilize both the typeOf and configuration properties. The typeOf property references the more general process as with simple inheritance, while the configuration property provides a means to further restrict the options and allowed values for the specific process. The configuration property in the AbstractProcess takes an AbstractSettings class as its value.

REQUIREMENT 16

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/configuration

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT A process instance that references another process through the typeOf property, and further restricts options or allowed values provided in the referenced process, shall specify those restrictions through the configuration property.

A concrete implementation of a Settings class will be provided in a later Conformance Clause.

8.2.10. SWE Common Data Types

Many properties in the DescribedObject and AbstractProcess classes described above are of type AbstractDataComponent as defined in the SWE Common Data Model standard. This data type is used for defining inputs, outputs and parameters, as well as for other metadata properties.

This requirements class only mandates the support of the “Simple Components” and “Record Components” as defined in the SWE Common Data Model standard. These includes the scalar data types Boolean, Text, Count, Quantity, Category, Time and their range equivalents, as well as DataRecord and Vector.

REQUIREMENT 17

IDENTIFIER /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency2

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

STATEMENT Contents of all properties of type AbstractDataComponents shall pass the SWE Common Data Model “Records Components Package” conformance test class.

However, many implementations of SensorML will find ALL conformance levels of the SWE Common Data Model to be useful, including arrays, choices and encodings. An implementation claiming support for more than the record components can pass the “Processes with Advanced Data Types” conformance test class of this standard.

8.3. Requirements Class: Simple Process

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 3: SIMPLE PROCESS | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/simpleProcess |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.3: /conf/model/simpleProcess |
| PREREQUISITES | Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess ISO 19115:2006 (All Metadata) ISO 19136 (GML) |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENTS | Requirement 18: /req/model/simpleProcess/dependency-core Requirement 19: /req/model/simpleProcess/package-fully-implemented Requirement 20: /req/model/simpleProcess/definition Requirement 21: /req/model/simpleProcess/method |

A simple process is derived from abstract process model, as presented in Clause 7.2.

| REQUIREMENT 18 | |
|----------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/simpleProcess/dependency-core |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess |
| STATEMENT | An encoding or software passing the “Simple Process” model conformance class shall first pass the “Abstract Process” requirements test class. |

| REQUIREMENT 19 | |
|----------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/simpleProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess |

REQUIREMENT 19

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “SimpleProcess” package described in this section.

8.3.1. Simple Process Definition

A simple process is a process that, for whatever reason, is considered indivisible. That is, there is no intent to further divide the process description into an aggregation of sub-processes. While the process method may describe several steps within the algorithm, the actual execution of this process is expected to occur as a single modular unit.

Often simple processes are computational processes that can be executed with an associated piece of software. Simple processes are often one component of a physical or non-physical aggregate process.

REQUIREMENT 20

IDENTIFIER /req/model/simpleProcess/definition

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess

STATEMENT A process shall be modeled a “Simple Process” if it provides a processing function with well-defined inputs and outputs, if there is no intent to further divide the process description into sub-process components, and if knowledge of its physical location is of no importance.

The SimpleProcess model, as shown in Figure 14, is a concrete instantiation of the AbstractProcess model. The SimpleProcess requires a method description.

REQUIREMENT 21

IDENTIFIER /req/model/simpleProcess/method

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess

STATEMENT An encoding or software implementation of the SimpleProcess class shall support the definition of the method.




Figure 14 – Model for Simple Process

Examples

A process computing a simple mathematical function such as sine, cosine or square root is usually modeled as a SimpleProcess instance. However, even more complex processes can be modeled this way if there is no intent to break down the implementation of the process into sub-processes.

8.3.2. Process Method Definition

The ProcessMethod provides a description of the methodology used by the process to execute and generate output based on the input and parameter values. This includes a textual description, as well as an optional description of the algorithm in an appropriate format (e.g., mathML) and optional references to particular executable implementations.

The ProcessMethod definition should be sufficient to allow one to understand how input values are converted to output values, given a particular set of parameter values, and be able to write software that is capable of executing this process.

A ProcessMethod description may be protected by security or legal constraints, which would purposely prevent access to the method description as well as restrict knowledge of the

methodology to authorized personnel only. However, regardless of access restrictions, a ProcessMethod should always be able to be referenced and identified by a unique identifier.




Figure 15 – Model for ProcessMethod

8.4. Requirements Class: Aggregate Process

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 4: AGGREGATE PROCESS

IDENTIFIER /req/model/aggregateProcess

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 4: AGGREGATE PROCESS

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.4: /conf/model/aggregateProcess |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENTS | Requirement 22: /req/model/aggregateProcess/dependency-core Requirement 23: /req/model/aggregateProcess/package-fully-implemented Requirement 24: /req/model/aggregateProcess/definition Requirement 25: /req/model/aggregateProcess/components |

An aggregate process is derived from abstract process model, as presented in Clause 7.2.

REQUIREMENT 22

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/aggregateProcess/dependency-core |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess |
| STATEMENT | An encoding or software passing the “Aggregate Process” conformance test class shall first pass the “Simple Process” conformance test class. |

REQUIREMENT 23

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/aggregateProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess |
| STATEMENT | The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Aggregate Process” package described in this section. |

8.4.1. Aggregate Process Definition

An aggregate process is a collection of autonomous component processes with an explicit mapping of the data flow between these processes. Components of an aggregate process can be simple processes (i.e., atomic) or be aggregate process themselves. Aggregate processes can include both physical and non-physical (i.e., logical) components.

REQUIREMENT 24

IDENTIFIER /req/model/aggregateProcess/definition

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess

STATEMENT A process shall be modeled as an "aggregate process" if it provides a processing function with well-defined inputs and outputs, if there is intent to further divide the process description into sub-processes, and if knowledge of its physical location is of no importance.

REQUIREMENT 25

IDENTIFIER /req/model/aggregateProcess/components

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess

STATEMENT An encoding or software implementation of the AggregateProcess class shall support the inclusion of one or more component processes and a means for explicitly specifying data flow between these components.

In SensorML, an aggregate process is agnostic to the execution engine that may perform the actual execution of individual sub-processes and manage the execution sequencing and the flow of data between the components. Also, while it is possible in SensorML to more explicitly define the data encoding if desired by using the encoding specifications defined in the SWE Common Data Specification, SensorML is typically agnostic to the protocol and format of data flowing between logical processes.

This provides significant flexibility as to where and how a SensorML-defined aggregate process is executed. While the ProcessMethod explicitly defines the algorithm for executing an atomic process, the actual execution of that algorithm and the management of data flow between processes can be handled by any software system able to parse a SensorML-defined aggregate process and sequence the execution of the processes.

A SensorML-defined process component or aggregate process can be executed through web services, within the CPU of a laptop, mobile device, or supercomputer, or a mix of these. Furthermore, a SensorML-defined aggregate process can be executed wherever desired, be it at a large data or computation center, within a visualization and analysis client on a laptop, or on-board a sensor or actuator system. Thus, SensorML provides the choice to either bring the process to the data or bring the data to the process.

The model for AggregateProcess is shown in the figure below. AggregateProcess extends the AbstractProcess model and adds one or more process components and explicit linking of data flow between these components. The component property takes any component derived from AbstractProcess as its value. Component process descriptions can be provided inline or by reference.

The derivation from AbstractProcess means that an AggregateProcess instance itself has its own inputs, outputs, and parameters, as well as identification and possible metadata.




Figure 16 – Model for Aggregate Process

8.5. Requirements Class: Physical Component

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 5: PHYSICAL COMPONENT

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/physicalComponent |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.5: /conf/model/physicalComponent |
| PREREQUISITES | Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess http://www.opengis.net/spec/GeoPose/1.0/req/basic-ypr http://www.opengis.net/spec/GeoPose/1.0/req/basic-quaternion |

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 5: PHYSICAL COMPONENT

NORMATIVE STATEMENTS

Requirement 26: /req/model/physicalComponent/package-fully-implemented
Requirement 27: /req/model/physicalComponent/dependency-core
Requirement 28: /req/model/physicalComponent/byPointOrLocation
Requirement 29: /req/model/physicalComponent/byPosition
Requirement 30: /req/model/physicalComponent/byTrajectory
Requirement 31: /req/model/physicalComponent/byProcess
Requirement 32: /req/model/physicalComponent/definition

In the context of SensorML, physical processes represent real processing devices whose spatio-temporal position is important. Physical processes include detectors, actuators, sensor systems, and actuator systems. Such processes typically, but not always, involve interactions between a real-world domain (or environment) and a digital domain.

Examples

A detector or sensor system typically senses an environmental stimulus and provides a digital number representing the measure of a property of that environment (e.g., temperature). Likewise, an actuator receives a digital number and based on its values causes an action in the real-world environment. Both devices interact with the real world and their position is usually of importance to the end-user. These should usually be modelled as physical components.

Because physical processes typically interact with the real-world environment, the position (location and orientation), as well as perhaps the dynamic state (velocity and acceleration), are usually of importance. We wish to either measure an observable property at a particular location in the environment or we wish to affect a physical action at a particular place in the environment. Thus, the position where the physical process measures or acts becomes important.

REQUIREMENT 26

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the "Physical Component" package described in this section

8.5.1. Abstract Physical Process Defined

The AbstractPhysicalProcess model is derived from AbstractProcess and thus includes the metadata and properties of a core process. Additionally, AbstractPhysicalProcess supports additional properties that allow one to define spatial and temporal coordinates for the physical process device.

REQUIREMENT 27

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/dependency-core

**INCLUDED
IN** Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT An encoding or software passing the “Physical Component” conformance class shall first pass the “Core Abstract Process” conformance test class.

The model for AbstractPhysicalProcess is shown in Figure 17 below. The additional properties of the AbstractPhysicalProcess will be discussed in subsequent clauses.




Figure 17 – Model for Physical Process Component

8.5.1.1. attachedTo Property

A physical process (“child process”) may be attached to another physical process (“parent process”) such that the movement of the parent process affects the position of the child process. The attachedTo property provides a reference from the attached process to the process to which it is attached.

Examples

A video camera is attached to a gimbal that allows rotation of the camera to view a 360° area surrounding the camera. In such a case, the camera is said to be attached to the gimbal. Both are physical processes (the camera, a sensor; the gimbal, an actuator). The video camera description should thus use the `attachedTo` property to reference the gimbal description.

8.5.1.2. Position and Spatial Reference Frames

In this standard, the position or dynamic state of a physical object is defined as a relationship of the reference frame of the object to some external reference frame. SensorML allows for the definition of direct orthogonal (i.e., Cartesian) reference frames that are assumed to be attached to the physical component where they are described.

A reference frame is defined by its origin and its axes, which are described relative to the physical object itself using natural language and are not relative to any relationship of the object to some external frame. The relationship of this object's reference frame to an external reference frame is defined by the position or dynamic state of the object. The models for reference frames and spatial position are provided in Figure 18.

> Example The origin of an airplane's spatial reference frame can be defined as the being at the center of the Inertial Navigation Unit main gyro. The axes can be defined by the following statements: "X is along the symmetric axis of the airplane's fuselage from the gyro to the nose of the airplane (along the platform roll axis of the airplane), Z is perpendicular to X and toward the belly of the airplane (along the yaw axis of the aircraft), and Y is Z cross X (in the direction of of the right wing and along the pitch axis of the airplane)". The location of this aircraft can then be given as the spatial relationship of the origin of this reference frame to some external reference frame (e.g., Earth-Centered-Earth-Fixed XYZ or latitude-longitude-altitude). Likewise, the orientation of the aircraft can be specified as the angular relationship of the axes of its reference frame to the axes of some external reference frame (e.g., ECEF or North-East-Down).




Figure 18 – Models for SpatialFrame and PositionUnion

In this standard, position is defined as the combination of location and orientation. Location is the linear displacement (translation) of the origin of the object’s spatial reference frame relative to the origin of some external reference frame (which must be designated). The orientation of an object is the angular relationship between the axes of the object’s reference axes to those of some external reference frame. The dynamic state of an object can include its time-tagged location, orientation, linear velocity, angular velocity, and higher-order derivatives when required (e.g., linear and angular acceleration, jerk, etc.).

An external reference frame can be another object’s reference frame (e.g., the reference frame of a ship) or a geographic reference frame (e.g., WGS84 latitude-longitude-altitude).

The PositionUnion class provides various means of specifying the location, position, or dynamic state of an object. These will be described in more detail in the appropriate JSON encoding section, but the following rules apply to the SensorML models.

REQUIREMENT 28

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/byPointOrLocation

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT Specification of position “byPoint” or “byLocation” shall specify the location of the origin of the object’s reference frame relative to the origin of a well-defined and specified external reference frame.

REQUIREMENT 29

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/byPosition

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT Specification of position “byPosition” shall specify, using a GeoPose or Relative Pose object, the location and orientation of the object’s reference frame relative to a well-defined and specified external reference frame.

REQUIREMENT 30

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/byTrajectory

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT Specification of position “byTrajectory” shall specify, at a minimum, the time-tagged location of the object’s reference frame relative to a well-defined and specified external reference frame, but may also include its orientation and any number of derivatives of the location and orientation.

REQUIREMENT 31

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/byProcess

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

REQUIREMENT 31

STATEMENT Specification of position “byProcess” shall specify SensorML-modeled process whose output provides, at a minimum, the time-tagged location of the object’s reference frame relative to a well-defined and specified external reference frame, but may also include its orientation and any number of derivatives of the location and orientation.

8.5.1.3. Temporal Reference Frames

Just as spatial position must be related to a spatial reference frame, time must also be related to a temporal reference frame. Temporal reference frames can include a particular calendar, a particular time of day reference frame, or a frame attached to an event of interest.

Examples

A temporal frame can be attached to an event of interest, such as the start of the mission. When such a reference frame is defined, time measurements can be expressed in seconds past the mission start time (which is usually itself referenced to a global time frame such as UTC or TAI).

A temporal reference frame can be defined within a physical process and is particularly useful if the component is a process that outputs its own measure of time (such as an on-board clock or high-resolution counter).

8.5.1.4. 3D Pose

This sections introduces data types for expressing 3D pose information within SensorML documents. It builds on the GeoPose Standard.

When a 3D Pose object is used as the value of the position property within a SensorML `PhysicalComponent` or `PhysicalSystem` instance, it defines the pose of the local reference frame attached to the component (intrinsic reference frame), relative to an external reference frame (extrinsic reference frame).




Figure 19 – Pose Data Types

8.5.1.4.1. GeoPose

The GeoPose Basic classes are used to define a pose relative to a tangent reference frame associated to the WGS84 ellipsoid. The location of the local tangent plane (LTP) is provided using EPSG:4979 coordinates and orientation is provided as yaw/pitch/roll angles or quaternion in the local tangent frame.

SensorML uses the Basic-YPR and Basic-Quaternion classes defined in the GeoPose Standard.

These classes are used to define the pose of an object relatively to the earth ellipsoid.

8.5.1.4.2. Relative Pose

The Relative Pose classes Relative_YPR and Relative_Quaternion are modeled on their GeoPose counterparts, but in this case, both position and orientation are provided relative to a cartesian reference frame.

These classes are used to define the pose of an object relatively to another object (e.g. a sensor relative to its platform).

8.5.2. Physical Component Defined

Any processing device can be considered a physical component, if it provides a processing function with well-defined inputs and outputs, if there is no intent to further divide the device description into component sub-processes, and if knowledge of its physical location is useful. Such devices could include, but not be limited to, detectors, actuators, reflectors, electrical components (e.g transformers, capacitors, resistors), or perhaps even computational units (when knowing their location in a computational facility is helpful).

REQUIREMENT 32

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalComponent/definition

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

STATEMENT A process shall be modeled as a “Physical Component” if it provides a processing function with well-defined inputs and outputs, if there is no intent to further divide the device description into sub-process components, and if knowledge of its physical location is of importance.

As shown in the models of Figure 17, the PhysicalComponent class is a concrete instantiation of an AbstractPhysicalProcess that adds the method property, which takes a ProcessMethod as its value. ProcessMethod was defined earlier in clause 7.3.2.

8.6. Requirements Class: Physical System

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 6: PHYSICAL SYSTEM

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalSystem

TARGET TYPE Derived Encoding or Software Implementations

CONFORMANCE CLASS Conformance class A.6: /conf/model/physicalSystem

PREREQUISITE Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent

NORMATIVE STATEMENTS Requirement 33: /req/model/physicalSystem/package-fully-implemented
Requirement 34: /req/model/physicalSystem/definition
Requirement 35: /req/model/physicalSystem/dependency-core

A physical system is used to model a hardware device as an aggregate process made of one or more components and whose location in the real world is known and of importance.

REQUIREMENT 33

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalSystem/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 6: /req/model/physicalSystem

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “PhysicalSystem” package described in this section.

Sensor and actuator systems (e.g., machines and robots) are typically physical systems that perform a particular feat through the coordinated actions of both physical and non-physical sub-processes. Even though a sensor system’s overall application is to sense something in the environment, the system itself can consist of sensing components (e.g., detectors and sensing subsystems), action (e.g., actuators and robotic subsystems), and computational components.

Examples

A weather station is an example of physical system that is composed of several sensors (thermometer, barometer, wind sensor, etc.) and other computational process such as an algorithm to compute wind chill. All these components can be described in SensorML and grouped in a PhysicalComponent description representing the station as a whole.

A hand-held digital camera can also be modeled as a physical system with an overall task of sensing radiance in a scene and generating an image. However, the camera is an aggregate of various sub-processes, each of which can be physical or non-physical, and can be sensing, acting, or computational. For example, a light detector outputs a measure of brightness, which serves as the input of a computational process which outputs a signal that provides input into an actuator that controls the opening or closing of the iris. The final iris size is sensed by another detector which inputs that value into a process that encodes that value into an EXIF format that accompanies the image, which is generated by a entirely different subsystem of the camera.

REQUIREMENT 34

IDENTIFIER /req/model/physicalSystem/definition

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 6: /req/model/physicalSystem

STATEMENT A process shall be modeled as a “Physical System” if it provides a processing function with well-defined inputs and outputs, if the device description is further divided into subprocess components, and if knowledge of its physical location is of importance.

The model for PhysicalSystem, as shown in Figure 20, is derived from AbstractPhysicalProcess, and adds the components and connections properties that have been described in the non-physical counterpart, Clause 8.4.




Figure 20 – Model for Physical Processing System

REQUIREMENT 35

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/physicalSystem/dependency-core |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 6: /req/model/physicalSystem |
| STATEMENT | An encoding or software passing the “Physical System” model conformance test class shall first pass the “Physical Component” conformance test class. |

8.7. Requirements Class: Processes with Advanced Data Types

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 7: PROCESSES WITH ADVANCED DATA TYPES

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/advancedProcess |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.7: /conf/model/advancedProcess |
| PREREQUISITES | Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/3.0/req/uml-block-components http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/3.0/req/uml-choice-components |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENTS | Requirement 36: /req/model/advancedProcess/dependency-core Requirement 37: /req/model/advancedProcess/package-fully-implemented |

The “Core Abstract Process” requirements class only requires the support of the record and scalar data types wherever a data type from the SWE Common standard is used.

This class also requires support for more advanced data types defined in the SWE Common standard: DataArray, Matrix, DataStream and Choice.

REQUIREMENT 36

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/advancedProcess/dependency-core |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 7: /req/model/advancedProcess |

REQUIREMENT 36

STATEMENT An encoding or software passing the “Advanced Data Types” model conformance class shall first pass the “Abstract Core Process” conformance test class.

REQUIREMENT 37

IDENTIFIER /req/model/advancedProcess/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 7: /req/model/advancedProcess

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Core” package and described in this section.

8.8. Requirements Class: Configurable Processes

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 8: CONFIGURABLE PROCESSES

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess

TARGET TYPE Derived Encoding or Software Implementations

CONFORMANCE CLASS Conformance class A.8: /conf/model/configurableProcess

PREREQUISITE /req/coreProcess

NORMATIVE STATEMENTS

- Requirement 38: /req/model/configurableProcess/dependency-core
- Requirement 39: /req/model/configurableProcess/package-fully-implemented
- Requirement 40: /req/model/configurableProcess/twoModesRequired
- Requirement 41: /req/model/configurableProcess/settingsProperty
- Requirement 42: /req/model/configurableProcess/setValueRestriction
- Requirement 43: /req/model/configurableProcess/setArrayValueRestriction
- Requirement 44: /req/model/configurableProcess/setConstraintRestriction

Many processes, both physical and non-physical, are configurable in that they provide one with the ability to set parameters values, enable options, or select modes before or during execution/

operation. Thus a general configurable process can be defined and published specifying allowed values for parameters, modes that can be selected, and options that can be enabled or disabled.

A specific process that inherits from this general process can then refine the process in several ways by: (1) specifying values for parameters, (2) further constraining the allowable values of parameters, (3) selecting an operational mode (which then sets a group of parameter values), or (4) enabling or disabling particular options such as particular outputs or components.

In this document, we will refer to the more general process as the “configurable process”, and the more specific process that inherits from it, as the “configured process”.

REQUIREMENT 38

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/dependency-core

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

STATEMENT An encoding or software passing the “Configurable Process” conformance test class shall first pass the “Core Abstract Process” conformance test class.

REQUIREMENT 39

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Configuration” package described in this section.

A process shall be considered “configurable” if it provides options, variable parameters, or modes that can be selected or set before or during deployment or execution.

Examples

A configurable process based on the linear equation ($y=mx+b$) defines two parameters for “slope” and “y-intercept” but does not provide values for these parameters. A configured process can inherit from this configurable process and set the values of those parameters (e.g., $y=2x+4$).

A process becomes “configurable” by one or more of the following characteristics:

- it defines parameters, but not defining their values
- it defines a range or selection of possible values for parameters using the swe:AllowedValues property
- it defines modes which in turn set a collection of parameter values when enabled
- it allows inputs, outputs, or components to be enabled or disabled

A process becomes “configured” by having both of the following two characteristics:

- it inherits from a configurable process using the `typeOf` property
- it specifies one or more settings within the configuration property

8.8.1. Modes

Examples

A Doppler radar for monitoring storms may have several modes from which one can select depending on the prevailing conditions at the time. For instance, there can be “clear-sky”, “storm”, and “severe-storm” modes in which the scanning properties, radar intensity, and gain settings can all change by simply changing the mode setting

A configurable process can but is not required to contain one or more modes properties. The modes property takes an `AbstractModes` as its value. As shown in Figure 21, the concrete `ModeChoice` class defined in this conformance clause is derived from `AbstractModes` and serves as the concrete instantiation for defining modes in this specification.

`ModeChoice` shall include two or more mode properties that take `Mode` as their value. In addition to metadata provided by the base `DescribedObject` class, `Mode` includes a configuration property that allows one to define a collection of settings for that mode.

REQUIREMENT 40

| | |
|-------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/configurableProcess/twoModesRequired |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess |
| STATEMENT | A <code>ModeChoice</code> instantiation must include two or more mode properties. |




Figure 21 – Model for Modes

The configuration property takes a Settings object, which will be described in more detail below.
 ==== Settings

The configuration property and its Settings value can be utilized in two cases:

- within the Mode definition of a configurable process for defining a collection of settings for that particular mode
- as a required property within a configured process for setting one or more configurable properties

REQUIREMENT 41

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/settingsProperty

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

REQUIREMENT 41

STATEMENT A configured process must include a configuration property that takes a Settings class as its value.

The Settings class is shown in Figure 21 with its possible property values shown in Figure 22. For all settings, the property in the configurable process is specified by the DataComponentPath reference.

Within the Settings class, one may (a) set particular values for parameters, (b) set an array of values for a parameter (and only a parameter that takes a DataArray as its value, © further constrain allowed values for parameters, (d) set the operational mode, and (e) enable or disable an input or output.




Figure 22 – Model for Configured Process Settings

REQUIREMENT 42

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/setValueRestriction

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

STATEMENT The setValue property shall only reference and set values for a parameter defined in a configurable process.

REQUIREMENT 43

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/setArrayValueRestriction

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

REQUIREMENT 43

STATEMENT The setConstraint property shall only reference and set constraints for a parameter defined in a configurable process.

REQUIREMENT 44

IDENTIFIER /req/model/configurableProcess/setConstraintRestriction

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess

STATEMENT The setArrayValues and setEncodedValues properties shall only reference and set array values for a parameter defined in a configurable process.




Figure 23 – Model for Settings Elements

8.9. Requirements Class: Deployment

8.9.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 9 | |
|----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/deployment |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.9: /conf/model/deployment |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 45: /req/model/deployment/package-fully-implemented |

| REQUIREMENT 45 | |
|----------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/model/deployment/package-fully-implemented |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 9: /req/model/deployment |
| STATEMENT | The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Deployment” package described in this section. |

8.9.2. Deployment Class

The Deployment class is used to describe when, where, why and how physical or non-physical systems are being deployed. The class Deployment itself derives from the DescribedObject class and thus inherits a wide range of optional metadata supporting discovery, identification, and qualification and an option for domain and community-specific extensions.

In particular, the deployment metadata allows for the provision of:

- Contact information (e.g. the organization operating/maintaining the deployed systems, the pilot of an unmanned vehicle, etc.)
- Domain specific identifiers and classifiers for the deployment (e.g. mission number, mission type, etc.)

- Characteristics of the deployment (e.g. sensor is mounted under shelter at 2m above ground)

The UML diagram of the Deployment class is shown on Figure 24 and Table 2 provides the description of the class properties:




Figure 24 – Deployment Class

Table 2 – Deployment Class Properties

| NAME | DEFINITION |
|----------------|---|
| location | The geographic location or area where the systems are deployed. |
| platform | Reference to the platform on which the systems are deployed. |
| deployedSystem | Description of a deployed system (as a DeployedSystem object, see below). |

8.9.3. DeployedSystem Class

The `DeployedSystem` class is used to describe each system deployed as part of a deployment. It includes the following properties:

Table 3 – DeployedSystem Class Properties

| NAME | DEFINITION |
|---------------|--|
| name | A code name for the system within the deployment (e.g. UAV1). |
| description | A description of the deployed system that is specific to the deployment. |
| system | Reference to the system, component, or process being deployed. |
| configuration | The configuration of the system used during this deployment. |

8.10. Requirements Class: Derived Property

8.10.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 10 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | <code>/req/model/derived-property</code> |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding or Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.10: <code>/conf/model/derived-property</code> |
| PREREQUISITE | http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/3.0/req/uml-simple-components |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 46: <code>/req/model/derived-property/package-fully-implemented</code> |

REQUIREMENT 46

IDENTIFIER /req/model/derived-property/package-fully-implemented

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 10: /req/model/derived-property

STATEMENT The encoding or software shall correctly implement all UML classes defined in the “Derived Property” package described in this section.

8.10.2. DerivedProperty Class

The DerivedProperty class is used to define domain specific properties that are derived from general properties such as the ones provided by the QUDT Quantity Kinds ontology.

The UML diagram of the DerivedProperty class is shown on Figure 25 and Table 4 provides the description of the class properties:




Figure 25 – DerivedProperty Class

Table 4 – DerivedProperty Class Properties

| NAME | DEFINITION |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| identifier | Unique identifier of the property. |

| NAME | DEFINITION |
|--------------|---|
| label | Human readable label for the property. |
| description | Longer human-readable description for the property. |
| baseProperty | Reference to the definition of the base property that this property is derived from (which can be itself a derived property). |
| objectType | Reference to the definition of a type of object/entity that the base property applies to. |
| statistic | Reference to the definition of the statistical operator that is applied to the base property (e.g. hourly mean, daily maximum, standard deviation, etc.). |
| qualifier | Additional qualifier for the property (e.g. frequency range, measurement height, medium, etc.). |



9

JSON IMPLEMENTATION (NORMATIVE)

JSON IMPLEMENTATION (NORMATIVE)

This standard defines a normative JSON implementation of the conceptual models presented in SensorML 2.1 and in the following clauses of this document:

- [clause_model_deployment], [clause_model_deployment]
- [clause_model_derived_property], [clause_model_derived_property]
- [clause_model_3dpose], [clause_model_3dpose]

The standardization target type for all requirements classes in this clause is a JSON instance document that seeks compliance with this JSON encoding model.

JSON schemas defined in this section are a direct implementation of the UML conceptual models. They have been generated from these models by strictly following well-defined encoding rules. All attributes and composition/aggregation associations contained in the UML models are encoded as JSON object members.

All JSON examples given in this section are informative and are used solely for illustrating features of the normative model. Many of these examples reference semantic information by using URLs that resolve to the following online ontologies:

- The OGC online registry at <http://www.opengis.net/def/>.
- The QUDT quantity kinds ontology at <http://qudt.org/2.1/vocab/quantitykind>.
- The MMI ontology registry and repository at <http://mmisw.org/ont/>.

9.1. Requirements Class: Core Schema

9.1.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 11 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-core |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.11: /conf/json-core |

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 11

PREREQUISITE <http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/3.0/req/json-block-components>

INDIRECT PREREQUISITE Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess

NORMATIVE STATEMENT Requirement 47: /req/json-core/media-type

9.1.2. Media Types

REQUIREMENT 47

IDENTIFIER /req/json-core/media-type

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 11: /req/json-core

STATEMENT A SensorML JSON document shall be advertised using the media type specified below.

9.1.2.1. application/sml+json

NOTE: Implementations should use **application/vnd.ogc.sml+json** as a preliminary media type until this Standard is stable to avoid confusing future implementations accessing JSON documents from draft versions of this Standard. The media type application/sml+json will be registered for SensorML JSON encoding, if and once this Standard is approved by the OGC Members. This note will be removed before publishing this Standard.

The draft media type submission to IANA is provided below:

Type name: application

Subtype name: sml+json

Required parameters: n/a

Optional parameters: n/a

Encoding considerations: binary

Security considerations: n/a

Interoperability considerations: n/a

Published specification: this document

Applications that use this media type: No known applications currently use this media type.

This media type is intended for applications currently using the "application/vnd.ogc.sml+json" media type, which include APIs for managing, publishing or processing sensor and system metadata.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): n/a

File extension(s): .json

Macintosh file type code: TEXT

Person to contact for further information:

1. Name: Scott Simmons
2. Email: ssimmons@ogc.org

Intended usage: COMMON

SensorML is an OGC Standard (OGC 23-000) that provides conceptual models and encodings for describing sensor systems including sensors, actuators and platforms. This media type applies to the JSON encoding of SensorML.

Restrictions on usage: n/a

Change controller: Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC)

Listing 1

9.1.3. General Encoding Principles

9.1.3.1. References

References are implemented in the JSON encodings using the JSON implementation of web linking.

9.1.4. DescribedObject

The JSON schema [DescribedObject.json](#) is an implementation of the DescribedObject UML class defined in Clause 8.2.2. It is the base schema for the following JSON objects specified in this document:

- SimpleProcess
- AggregateProcess
- PhysicalComponent
- PhysicalSystem

- Mode
- Deployment

It provides a set of metadata properties that are common to all these objects. Rather than repeating this type of metadata in all examples, the following snippets show examples of the various metadata options provided by the DescribedObject schema.

9.1.4.1. Unique Identifier

The unique identifier of the object is encoded as a URI that must be globally unique. The following snippets show some example URNs that can be used for this purpose:

Universally Unique Identifiers (UUID)

Randomly Generated UUID (version 4):

```
"uniqueId": "urn:uuid:e3c2ea01-ed37-4bb4-bf45-aff0ad84a331"
```

GS1 Electronic Product Codes (EPC), used in barcodes and RFID tags

Global Individual Asset Identifier (GIAI):

```
"uniqueId": "urn:epc:id:giai:0614141.12345400"
```

Component/Part Identifier (CPI):

```
"uniqueId": "urn:epc:id:cpi:0614141.123ABC.123456789"
```

Serialised Global Trade Item Number (SGTIN):

```
"uniqueId": "urn:epc:id:sgtin:123456789012.0.4711"
```

Global Document Type Identifier (GDTI):

```
"uniqueId": "urn:epc:id:gdti:0614141.12345.006847"
```

Other registered URN namespaces

Ship identifier in Maritime Resource Name (MRN) namespace

```
"uniqueId": "urn:mrn:itu:mmsi:538070999"
```

Navigation aid identifier in Maritime Resource Name (MRN) namespace

```
"uniqueId": "urn:mrn:iala:aton:us:1234.5"
```

9.1.4.2. Keywords

Keywords are provided as an array of string, as shown on the following snippet:

```
"keywords": [
  "thermometer",
  "sensor",
  "outdoor"
]
```

9.1.4.3. Identifiers

The following snippet shows how different kinds of commonly used identifiers are encoded in JSON:

```
"identifiers": [  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/ShortName",  
    "label": "Short Name",  
    "value": "Davis Vantage Pro2"  
  },  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/Manufacturer",  
    "label": "Manufacturer Name",  
    "value": "Davis Instruments"  
  },  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/ModelNumber",  
    "label": "Model Number",  
    "value": "Vantage Pro2"  
  }  
]
```

9.1.4.4. Classifiers

The following snippet shows how different kinds of commonly used classifiers are encoded in JSON (with or without a code space):

```
"classifiers": [  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/SensorType",  
    "label": "Sensor Type",  
    "value": "Motion Detector"  
  },  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/PlatformType",  
    "label": "Platform Type",  
    "value": "Unmanned Aerial Vehicle"  
  },  
  {  
    "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/PlatformType",  
    "codeSpace": "https://mmisw.org/ont/ioos/platform",  
    "label": "Platform Type",  
    "value": "subsurface_float"  
  }  
]
```

9.1.4.5. Security Constraints

The following snippet shows how to tag a SensorML object with security constraints encoded using the ISM standard:

```
"securityConstraints": [  
  {
```

```

    "type": "urn:us:gov:ic:ism:v2",
    "classification": "TS",
    "classifiedBy": "USCG",
    "ownerProducer": "USA"
  }
]

```

9.1.4.6. Valid Time

The temporal validity period is encoded as an array of 2 items for begin and end times. Each date/time is either a ISO8601 date/time string or the value now.

```

"validTime": [
  "2023-05-07T12:30:00Z",
  "2023-05-10T00:00:00Z"
]

"validTime": [
  "2023-05-07T12:30:00Z",
  "now"
]

```

9.1.4.7. Legal Constraints

The following snippet shows how to include legal constraints within a SensorML object:

```

"legalConstraints": [
  {
    "useLimitations": [
      "Disclaimer - While every effort has been made to ensure that the data from this sensor is accurate and reliable within the limits of the current state of the art, we cannot assume liability for any damages caused by any errors or omissions in the data, nor as a result of the failure of the data to function on a particular system. We make no warranty, expressed or implied, nor does the fact of distribution constitute such a warranty."
    ],
    "useConstraints": [
      {
        "codeSpace": "http://standards.iso.org/iso/19115/resources/Codelist/cat/codelists.xml#MD_RestrictionCode",
        "value": "licenceDistributor"
      }
    ]
  }
]

```

9.1.4.8. Capabilities

The following snippet shows examples of system capabilities that could be provided as part of a UAV datasheet description:

```

"capabilities": [
  {
    "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/ssn/systems/SystemCapability",
    "label": "System Capabilities (No Wind)",
  }
]

```

```

"conditions": [
  {
    "type": "Quantity",
    "name": "wind_speed",
    "definition": "http://mmisw.org/ont/cf/parameter/wind_speed",
    "label": "Wind Speed",
    "uom": { "code": "m/s" },
    "value": 0
  }
],
"capabilities": [
  {
    "type": "Quantity",
    "name": "flight_range",
    "definition": "http://qudt.org/vocab/quantitykind/Distance",
    "label": "Max Travel Distance",
    "uom": { "code": "km" },
    "value": 13
  },
  {
    "type": "Quantity",
    "name": "max_speed",
    "definition": "http://qudt.org/vocab/quantitykind/Speed",
    "label": "Max Speed",
    "uom": { "code": "km/h" },
    "value": 65
  },
  {
    "type": "Quantity",
    "name": "flight_time",
    "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/ssn/systems/BatteryLifetime",
    "label": "Battery Lifetime",
    "description": "Maximum flight time in stationary flight",
    "uom": { "code": "min" },
    "value": 24
  }
]
}
]

```

9.1.4.9. Characteristics

Similarly, the following snippet shows example metadata for detailing battery characteristics in a UAV datasheet description:

```

"characteristics": [
  {
    "label": "Battery Characteristics",
    "characteristics": [
      {
        "type": "Quantity",
        "name": "bat_cap",
        "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/BatteryCapacity",
        "label": "Battery Capacity",
        "uom": {
          "code": "W.h"
        },
        "value": 43.6
      },
      {

```

```

    "type": "Quantity",
    "name": "bat_volt",
    "definition": "http://qudt.org/vocab/quantitykind/Voltage",
    "label": "Operating Voltage",
    "uom": {
      "code": "V"
    },
    "value": 11.4
  },
  {
    "type": "Category",
    "name": "type",
    "definition": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Battery_types",
    "label": "Battery Type",
    "value": "LiPo 3S"
  }
]
}
]

```

9.1.4.10. Contacts

The following snippet shows how to encode contact information associated to the surrounding object:

```

"contacts": [
  {
    "role": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/Manufacturer",
    "organisationName": "Davis Instruments Corp.",
    "contactInfo": {
      "website": "https://www.davisinstruments.com",
      "phone": {
        "voice": "+1 (510) 732-7814"
      },
      "address": {
        "deliveryPoint": "3465 Diablo Avenue",
        "city": "Hayward",
        "postalCode": "94545",
        "administrativeArea": "CA",
        "country": "USA",
        "electronicMailAddress": "support@davisinstruments.com"
      }
    }
  }
]

```

9.1.4.11. Documents

The following snippet shows how to reference external documents related to the surrounding object:

```

"documents": [
  {
    "role": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Web_page",
    "name": "Product Web Site",
    "description": "Webpage with specs an other resources",
    "link": {
      "href": "https://www.davisinstruments.com/pages/vantage-pro2",
    }
  }
]

```

```

    "type": "text/html"
  }
},
{
  "role": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Datasheet",
  "name": "Spec Sheet",
  "link": {
    "href": "https://cdn.shopify.com/s/files/1/0515/5992/3873/files/6152c_6162c_ss.pdf",
    "type": "application/pdf"
  }
},
{
  "role": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Photograph",
  "name": "Photo",
  "link": {
    "href": "https://m.media-amazon.com/images/I/71rycLk7sFL.jpg",
    "type": "image/jpg"
  }
}
]

```

9.1.4.12. History

The following snippet shows how to record maintenance events as part of a system description:

```

"history": [
  {
    "label": "Scheduled Maintenance",
    "description": "Monthly maintenance of station hardware.\n-Checked electronics\n-Checked casing\nChecked power supply.\nEverything OK.",
    "time": [ "2002-03-01T10:00:00Z", "2002-03-01T11:00:00Z" ]
  },
  {
    "label": "Calibration",
    "description": "Recalibration of acquisition electronics using temperature reference",
    "time": "2002-03-01T18:00:00Z"
  }
]

```

9.1.5. AbstractProcess

The `AbstractProcess.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the `AbstractProcess` UML class defined in Clause 8.2.9.

The `AbstractProcess` schema extends the `DescribedObject` schema and serves as the base class for all processes modelled and encoded in this specification. Thus, all process and system descriptions include the metadata described above plus the elements defined in this section.

9.1.5.1. Type Of

The value of the `typeOf` property is always a weblink as described in Clause 9.1.3.1.

```

"typeOf": {

```

```

    "href": "http://data.example.org/api/procedures/123",
    "uid": "urn:x-davis:station:vantagepro2",
    "title": "Davis Vantage Pro 2",
    "type": "application/sml+json"
  }

```

9.1.5.2. Configuration

The following snippet shows an example configuration:

```

"configuration": {
  "setValues": [{
    "ref": "parameters/gain",
    "value": 1.25
  }],
  "setModes": [{
    "ref": "modes/THREAT_LEVEL_MODE",
    "value": "lowThreat"
  }]
}

```

9.2. Requirements Class: Simple Process Schema

9.2.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 12 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-simple-process |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.12: /conf/json-simple-process |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 11: /req/json-core |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 48: /req/json-simple-process/schema-valid |

9.2.2. SimpleProcess

The SimpleProcess.json schema is the JSON schema implementation of the SimpleProcess UML class defined in Clause 8.3.

REQUIREMENT 48

IDENTIFIER /req/json-simple-process/schema-valid

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 12: /req/json-simple-process

STATEMENT The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema "SimpleProcess.json".

The SimpleProcess schema extends the AbstractProcess schema. Thus, it includes all elements described in Clause 9.1.5, AbstractProcess, plus the elements defined in this section.

The following snippet shows an example windchill computation process encoded in JSON:

```
{
  "type": "SimpleProcess",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-org:process:windchill:001",
  "label": "Wind Chill Process",
  "description": "A simple process for taking temperature and wind speed and
determining wind chill.",
  "inputs": [
    {
      "name": "temp",
      "type": "Quantity",
      "definition": "http://mmisw.org/ont/cf/parameter/air_temperature",
      "label": "Air Temperature",
      "uom": { "code": "Cel", "symbol": "°C" }
    },
    {
      "name": "wind",
      "type": "Quantity",
      "definition": "http://mmisw.org/ont/cf/parameter/wind_speed",
      "label": "Wind Speed",
      "uom": { "code": "km/h" }
    }
  ],
  "outputs": [
    {
      "name": "wind_chill",
      "type": "Quantity",
      "definition": "http://mmisw.org/ont/cf/parameter/wind_chill_of_air_
temperature",
      "label": "Wind Chill Factor",
      "uom": { "code": "Cel", "symbol": "°C" }
    }
  ],
  "method": {
    "description": "The formula used to compute windchill is:\nTwc = 13.12
+ 0.6215*Ta - 11.37*v0.16 + 0.3965*Ta*v0.16, where\nTwc is the wind chill
```

```

index on the Celsius temperature scale;\nTa is the air temperature in degrees
Celsius;\nv is the wind speed at 10 m AGL, in km/h"
}
}
}

```

9.2.3. Process Method

The process method provides a textual or algorithmic description of the method implemented by the process.

9.3. Requirements Class: Aggregate Process Schema

9.3.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 13 | |
|-----------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-aggregate-process |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.13: /conf/json-aggregate-process |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 12: /req/json-simple-process |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 49: /req/json-aggregate-process/schema-valid |

9.3.2. AggregateProcess

The `AggregateProcess.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the `AggregateProcess` UML class defined in Clause 8.4.

| REQUIREMENT 49 | |
|----------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-aggregate-process/schema-valid |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 13: /req/json-aggregate-process |

REQUIREMENT 49

STATEMENT The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema "[AggregateProcess.json](#)".

The snippet below shows a simple process chain example with 2 child processes and their connections.

```
{
  "type": "AggregateProcess",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-ogc:process-chain:001",
  "label": "Simple Process Chain",
  "description": "A simple process chain that applies a linear transformation
and clips the value to a threshold.",
  "inputs": [
    {
      "name": "valueIn",
      "type": "Quantity",
      "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/DN",
      "label": "Input Value",
      "uom": { "href": "http://www.opengis.net/def/nil/OGC/0/unknown" }
    }
  ],
  "outputs": [
    {
      "name": "valueOut",
      "type": "Quantity",
      "definition": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/DN",
      "label": "Output Value",
      "uom": { "href": "http://www.opengis.net/def/nil/OGC/0/unknown" }
    }
  ],
  "components": [
    {
      "name": "scale",
      "type": "SimpleProcess",
      "label": "Linear Transform 01",
      "typeOf": {
        "href": "http://example.org/processlib/linearTransform.json",
        "uid": "urn:x-org:process:LinearTransform:v1.0",
        "title": "Linear Transform"
      },
      "configuration": {
        "setValues": [
          { "ref": "parameters/slope", "value": 2.3 },
          { "ref": "parameters/intercept", "value": 1.76 }
        ]
      }
    },
    {
      "name": "clip",
      "type": "SimpleProcess",
      "label": "Threshold Clipper 01",
      "typeOf": {
        "href": "http://example.org/processlib/thresholdClipper.json",
        "uid": "urn:x-org:process:ThresholdClipper:v1.0",
        "title": "Threshold Clip"
      },
      "configuration": {
        "setValues": [
          { "ref": "parameters/threshold", "value": 15.0 }
        ]
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

```

    }
  ],
  "connections": [
    { "source": "inputs/valueIn", "destination": "components/scale/inputs/x" },
    { "source": "components/scale/outputs/y", "destination": "components/clip/
inputs/valueIn" },
    { "source": "components/clip/outputs/passValue", "destination": "outputs/
valueOut" }
  ]
}

```

9.3.3. Components

The components property takes a ComponentList as its value, that is a list of nested AbstractProcess instances.

9.3.4. Connections

The connections property takes a ConnectionList as its value, that is a list of nested Link instances that specify the source and destination of each connection.

9.4. Requirements Class: Physical Component Schema

9.4.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 14 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-physical-component |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.14: /conf/json-physical-component |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 12: /req/json-simple-process |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 50: /req/json-physical-component/schema-valid |

9.4.2. AbstractPhysicalProcess

The schema for this abstract class is provided in [AbstractPhysicalProcess.json](#).

It is imported by the schemas of derived classes and thus does not need to be used directly for validation.

9.4.3. PhysicalComponent

The `PhysicalComponent.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the `PhysicalComponent` UML class defined in Clause 8.5.

REQUIREMENT 50

IDENTIFIER /req/json-physical-component/schema-valid

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 14: /req/json-physical-component

STATEMENT The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema "[PhysicalComponent.json](#)".

The following snippet illustrates how a simple sensor instance can be described as a physical component with a fixed geographic location:

```
{
  "type": "PhysicalComponent",
  "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-org:systems:001",
  "label": "Outdoor Thermometer 001",
  "description": "Digital thermometer located on first floor window 1",
  "typeOf": {
    "href": "https://data.example.org/api/procedures/TP60S?f=sml",
    "title": "ThermoPro TP60S",
    "type": "application/sml+json"
  },
  "position": {
    "type": "Point",
    "coordinates": [41.8781, -87.6298]
  }
}
```

9.4.4. 3D Pose

The position of a physical component can also be specified by a 3D pose object as specified by the JSON schema [Pose.json](#).

```
{
  "type": "PhysicalComponent",
```

```

"definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
"uniqueId": "urn:x-org:sensors:001",
"label": "Sensor with GeoPose",
"position": {
  "type": "GeoPose",
  "ltpReferenceFrame": "http://www.opengis.net/def/cs/OGC/0/NED",
  "position": {
    "lat": 47.7,
    "lon": -122.3,
    "h": 11.5
  },
  "angles": {
    "yaw": 5.946590591427664,
    "pitch": -0.4683537318018044,
    "roll": 0.0
  }
}
}
}

```

9.5. Requirements Class: Physical System Schema

9.5.1. Overview

| REQUIREMENTS CLASS 15 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-physical-system |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.15: /conf/json-physical-system |
| PREREQUISITES | Requirements class 13: /req/json-aggregate-process Requirements class 14: /req/json-physical-component |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 6: /req/model/physicalSystem |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 51: /req/json-physical-system/schema-valid |

9.5.2. PhysicalSystem

The `PhysicalSystem.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the `PhysicalSystem` UML class defined in `[uml_physical_systems]`.

REQUIREMENT 51

IDENTIFIER /req/json-physical-system/schema-valid

INCLUDED IN Requirements class 15: /req/json-physical-system

STATEMENT The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema "[PhysicalSystem.json](#)".

The following snippet illustrates how the specifications (datasheet) of a complete weather station can be described as a physical system. In this example, each component of the system represents one of the sensors connected to the base station:

```
{
  "type": "PhysicalSystem",
  "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-davis:station:vantagepro2",
  "label": "Davis Vantage Pro2 Weather Station",
  "description": "An industrial-grade weather station engineered to handle the
harshesht environments...",
  "identifiers": [ ... ],
  "classifiers": [ ... ],
  "characteristics": [ ... ],
  "capabilities": [ ... ],
  "contacts": [ ... ],
  "documents": [ ... ],
  "components": [
    {
      "type": "PhysicalComponent",
      "name": "temp_sensor",
      "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
      "label": "Temperature Sensor",
      ...
    },
    {
      "type": "PhysicalComponent",
      "name": "press_sensor",
      "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
      "label": "Pressure Sensor",
      ...
    },
    {
      "type": "PhysicalComponent",
      "name": "hum_sensor",
      "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
      "label": "Humidity Sensor",
      ...
    },
    {
      "type": "PhysicalComponent",
      "name": "wind_sensor",
      "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
      "label": "Wind Sensor",
      ...
    }
  ]
}
```

NOTE: This inline example was abridged for clarity. You can see the full example [here](#).

Below is another example describing a specific instance of the weather station, with specifications provided above. The instance refers to the datasheet using the `typeOf` property, and also provides contact information for the operator, as well as a fixed location:

```
{
  "type": "PhysicalSystem",
  "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/sosa/Sensor",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-meteofrance:stations:davis:WS00010",
  "label": "Meteo France Weather Station WS00010",
  "typeOf": {
    "href": "http://example.org/api/procedures/2ev1rrr8dkeuu",
    "uid": "urn:x-davis:station:vantagepro2",
    "type": "application/sml+json"
  },
  "contacts": [
    {
      "role": "http://sensorml.com/ont/swe/property/Operator",
      "organisationName": "Meteo France",
      "contactInfo": {
        "website": "https://www.meteo.fr",
        "phone": {
          "voice": "+33 5 61 07 80 80"
        }
      },
      "address": {
        "deliveryPoint": "42 avenue Gaspard-Coriolis",
        "city": "TOULOUSE",
        "postalCode": "31057 Cedex 1",
        "country": "France"
      }
    }
  ],
  "position": {
    "type": "Point",
    "coordinates": [
      1.35997,
      43.637788
    ]
  }
}
```

9.6. Requirements Class: Deployment Schema

9.6.1. Overview

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 16

IDENTIFIER

/req/json-deployment

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 16

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.16: /conf/json-deployment |
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 11: /req/json-core |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | /req/model-deployment |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 52: /req/json-deployment/schema-valid |

9.6.2. Deployment

The `Deployment.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the Deployment UML class defined in Clause 8.9.

REQUIREMENT 52

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-deployment/schema-valid |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 16: /req/json-deployment |
| STATEMENT | The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema " Deployment.json ". |

The following snippet provides an example of a Saildrone mission:

```
{
  "type": "Deployment",
  "definition": "http://www.w3.org/ns/ssn/Deployment",
  "uniqueId": "urn:x-saildrone:mission:2025",
  "label": "Saildrone - 2017 Arctic Mission",
  "description": "In July 2017, three saildrones were launched from Dutch Harbor, Alaska, in partnership with NOAA Research...",
  "contacts": [ ... ],
  "validTime": [
    "2017-07-17T00:00:00Z",
    "2017-09-29T00:00:00Z"
  ],
  "location": {
    "type": "Polygon",
    "coordinates": [[
      [-173.70, 53.76],
      [-173.70, 75.03],
      [-155.07, 75.03],
      [-155.07, 53.76],
      [-173.70, 53.76]
    ]]
  }
},
```

```

"deployedSystems": [
  {
    "name": "SD1001",
    "system": {
      "href": "https://data.example.org/api/systems/27559?f=sml",
      "uid": "urn:x-saildrone:platforms:SD-1001",
      "title": "Saildrone SD-1001"
    }
  },
  {
    "name": "SD1002",
    "system": {
      "href": "https://data.example.org/api/systems/27560?f=sml",
      "uid": "urn:x-saildrone:platforms:SD-1002",
      "title": "Saildrone SD-1002"
    }
  },
  {
    "name": "SD1003",
    "system": {
      "href": "https://data.example.org/api/systems/27561?f=sml",
      "uid": "urn:x-saildrone:platforms:SD-1003",
      "title": "Saildrone SD-1003"
    }
  }
]
}

```

9.6.3. DeployedSystem

The DeployedSystem schema is the JSON schema implementation of the DeployedSystem UML class defined in Clause 8.9, Requirements Class: Deployment.

The schema allows associating a configuration to a given deployed system or platform.

9.7. Requirements Class: Derived Property Schema

9.7.1. Overview

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 17

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-derived-property |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE CLASS | Conformance class A.17: /conf/json-derived-property |

REQUIREMENTS CLASS 17

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| PREREQUISITE | Requirements class 11: /req/json-core |
| INDIRECT PREREQUISITE | /req/uml-derived-property |
| NORMATIVE STATEMENT | Requirement 53: /req/json-derived-property/schema-valid |

9.7.2. DerivedProperty

The `DerivedProperty.json` schema is the JSON schema implementation of the `DerivedProperty` UML class defined in Clause 8.10.

REQUIREMENT 53

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /req/json-derived-property/schema-valid |
| INCLUDED IN | Requirements class 17: /req/json-derived-property |
| STATEMENT | The JSON document instance shall be valid with respect to the JSON schema " <u>DerivedProperty.json</u> ". |

The following snippets provide examples of domain specific derived properties:

```
{
  "description": "Mechanical power produced by the engine",
  "baseProperty": "http://qudt.org/vocab/quantitykind/Power",
  "objectType": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Engine"
}

{
  "description": "Hourly average of the CPU temperature",
  "baseProperty": "http://qudt.org/vocab/quantitykind/Temperature",
  "objectType": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Central_processing_unit",
  "statistic": "http://sensorml.com/ont/x-stats/HourlyMean"
}
```



ANNEX A (NORMATIVE) CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE



ANNEX A (NORMATIVE) CONFORMANCE CLASS ABSTRACT TEST SUITE

A.1. Core Concepts

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the Requirements Class: Core Concepts (normative core).

| CONFORMANCE CLASS A.1: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE CONCEPTS | |
|--|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 1: /req/core |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Models and Software Implementations |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.1: /conf/core/concepts-used Abstract test A.2: /conf/core/processes Abstract test A.3: /conf/core/uniqueID Abstract test A.4: /conf/core/metadata Abstract test A.5: /conf/core/execution |

| ABSTRACT TEST A.1: CORE CONCEPTS ARE THE BASE OF ALL DERIVED MODELS | |
|---|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core/concepts-used |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 1: /req/core/concepts-used |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements the core concepts. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.2: A PROCESS MODEL HAS INPUTS, OUTPUTS, PARAMETERS, AND METHOD

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core/processes |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 2: /req/core/processes |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements a process model. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.3: A PROCESS MODEL HAS A UNIQUE ID

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core/uniqueID |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 3: /req/core/uniqueID |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation has a unique ID |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.4: A PROCESS MODEL HAS METADATA

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core/metadata |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 4: /req/core/metadata |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation has metadata |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.5: METADATA NOT USED IN PROCESS EXECUTION

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/core/execution |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 5: /req/core/execution |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation does not require metadata for successful execution. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.5: METADATA NOT USED IN PROCESS EXECUTION

TEST METHOD

Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above.

A.2. UML Models

A.2.1. Core Abstract Process

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Core Abstract Process

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.2: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE ABSTRACT PROCESS

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 2: /req/model/coreProcess |
| PREREQUISITES | Conformance class A.1: /conf/core http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-block-components ISO 19115:2006 (All Metadata) ISO 19136 (GML) |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Models Encodings and Software Implementations |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.6: /conf/model/coreProcess/dependency-core Abstract test A.7: /conf/model/coreProcess/package-fully-implemented Abstract test A.8: /conf/model/coreProcess/gmlDependency Abstract test A.9: /conf/model/coreProcess/uniqueID Abstract test A.10: /conf/model/coreProcess/extensionIndependence Abstract test A.11: /conf/model/coreProcess/extensionRestrictions Abstract test A.12: /conf/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency1 Abstract test A.13: /conf/model/coreProcess/aggregateData Abstract test A.14: /conf/model/coreProcess/typeOf Abstract test A.15: /conf/model/coreProcess/simpleInheritance Abstract test A.16: /conf/model/coreProcess/configuration |

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.2: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE ABSTRACT PROCESS

Abstract test A.17: /conf/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency2

ABSTRACT TEST A.6: DEPENDENCY ON CORE

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 6: /req/model/coreProcess/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation passes the "Core Concepts" conformance test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.1 |

ABSTRACT TEST A.7: FULLY IMPLEMENT COREPROCESS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 7: /req/model/coreProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implements all UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.8: DESCRIBEDOBJECT DERIVED FROM GML ABSTRACTFEATURE

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/gmlDependency |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 8: /req/model/coreProcess/gmlDependency |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation is derived from GML Abstract Feature. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.9: USING GML IDENTIFIER FOR UNIQUEID IN COREPROCESS

| | |
|------------|----------------------------------|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/uniqueID |
|------------|----------------------------------|

ABSTRACT TEST A.9: USING GML IDENTIFIER FOR UNIQUEID IN COREPROCESS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 9: /req/model/coreProcess/uniqueID |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation uses a single gml:identifier property to provide a unique ID. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.10: EXTENSIONS MUST BE IN A SEPARATE NAMESPACE

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/extensionIndependence |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 10: /req/model/coreProcess/extensionIndependence |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation uses a unique namespace. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.11: EXTENSIONS SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED FOR PROCESS EXECUTION

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/extensionRestrictions |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 11: /req/model/coreProcess/extensionRestrictions |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation does not require an extension for process execution. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.12: OBSERVABLEPROPERTY AND SWE COMMON DATA USED FOR PROCESS INPUT, OUTPUT, AND PARAMETERS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency1 |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 12: /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency1 |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation uses ObservableProperty and/or SWE Common Data for process input, output, and parameters. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.13: USE OF SWE COMMON DATA AGGREGATE MODELS FOR PROCESS INPUT, OUTPUT, AND PARAMETERS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/aggregateData |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 13: /req/model/coreProcess/aggregateData |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation models tightly related data as an SWE Common Data aggregate type. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.14: APPLICATION AND REQUIREMENTS OF TYPEOF PROPERTY

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/typeOf |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 14: /req/model/coreProcess/typeOf |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation uses the proper process reference. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.15: SIMPLE INHERITANCE EXTENDS A BASE CLASS REFERENCED BY TYPEOF

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/simpleInheritance |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 15: /req/model/coreProcess/simpleInheritance |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation has a complete process description. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.16: SUPPORTING CONFIGURATION IN PROCESSES

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/coreProcess/configuration |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 16: /req/model/coreProcess/configuration |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation uses the <i>configuration</i> property to specify non-inherited restrictions. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.16: SUPPORTING CONFIGURATION IN PROCESSES

TEST METHOD

Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above.

ABSTRACT TEST A.17: DEPENDENCY ON SWE COMMON DATA SIMPLE TYPES

IDENTIFIER

/conf/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency2

REQUIREMENT

Requirement 17: /req/model/coreProcess/SWE-Common-dependency2

TEST PURPOSE

Verify that the target implementation passes conformance test classes.

TEST METHOD

Validate according to appropriate SWE Common Data conformance tests

A.2.2. Simple Process

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Simple Process.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.3: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: SIMPLE PROCESS

IDENTIFIER

/conf/model/simpleProcess

REQUIREMENTS CLASS

Requirements class 3: /req/model/simpleProcess

PREREQUISITE

Conformance class A.2: /conf/model/coreProcess

TARGET TYPE

Derived Encoding and Software Implementation

CONFORMANCE TESTS

Abstract test A.18: /conf/model/simpleProcess/dependency-core
Abstract test A.19: /conf/model/simpleProcess/package-fully-implemented
Abstract test A.20: /conf/model/simpleProcess/definition
Abstract test A.21: /conf/model/simpleProcess/method

ABSTRACT TEST A.18: DEPENDENCY ON CORE

IDENTIFIER

/conf/model/simpleProcess/dependency-core

ABSTRACT TEST A.18: DEPENDENCY ON CORE

REQUIREMENT Requirement 18: /req/model/simpleProcess/dependency-core

TEST PURPOSE Verify that the target implementation passes the test class.

TEST METHOD Apply all tests described in section A.2.

ABSTRACT TEST A.19: FULLY IMPLEMENT SIMPLEPROCESS

IDENTIFIER /conf/model/simpleProcess/package-fully-implemented

REQUIREMENT Requirement 19: /req/model/simpleProcess/package-fully-implemented

TEST PURPOSE Verify that the target implements all classes in the UML package.

TEST METHOD Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above.

ABSTRACT TEST A.20: SIMPLE PROCESS DEFINITION

IDENTIFIER /conf/model/simpleProcess/definition

REQUIREMENT Requirement 20: /req/model/simpleProcess/definition

TEST PURPOSE Verify that the target conforms to the definition of a "Simple Process".

TEST METHOD Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above.

ABSTRACT TEST A.21: SIMPLE PROCESS HAS METHOD

IDENTIFIER /conf/model/simpleProcess/method

REQUIREMENT Requirement 21: /req/model/simpleProcess/method

TEST PURPOSE Verify that the target supports the definition of the method.

TEST METHOD Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above.

A.2.3. Aggregate Process

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Aggregate Process.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.4: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: AGGREGATE PROCESS

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/aggregateProcess |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 4: /req/model/aggregateProcess |
| PREREQUISITE | Conformance class A.2: /conf/model/coreProcess |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.22: /conf/model/aggregateProcess/dependency-core Abstract test A.23: /conf/model/aggregateProcess/package-fully-implemented Abstract test A.24: /conf/model/aggregateProcess/definition Abstract test A.25: /conf/model/aggregateProcess/components |

ABSTRACT TEST A.22: DEPENDENCY ON CORE

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/aggregateProcess/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 22: /req/model/aggregateProcess/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation passes the test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.2. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.23: FULLY IMPLEMENT AGGREGATE PROCESS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/aggregateProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 23: /req/model/aggregateProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly and fully defines "AggregateProcess" UML classes. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.23: FULLY IMPLEMENT AGGREGATE PROCESS

| | |
|-------------|---|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation fully implements all of the package. |
|-------------|---|

ABSTRACT TEST A.24: DEFINITION OF AGGREGATE PROCESS

| | |
|------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/aggregateProcess/definition |
|------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 24: /req/model/aggregateProcess/definition |
|-------------|--|

| | |
|--------------|---|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation is modelled as an “aggregate process” if it meets the definition. |
|--------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has constraints that enforce the above. |
|-------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.25: AGGREGATE PROCESS REQUIRES ONE OR MORE COMPONENTS

| | |
|------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/aggregateProcess/components |
|------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 25: /req/model/aggregateProcess/components |
|-------------|--|

| | |
|--------------|---|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation supports one or more component processes. |
|--------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has constraints that enforce the above. |
|-------------|--|

A.2.4. Physical Component

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Physical Component.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.5: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT

| | |
|------------|-------------------------------|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalComponent |
|------------|-------------------------------|

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 5: /req/model/physicalComponent |
|--------------------|--|

| | |
|--------------|--|
| PREREQUISITE | Conformance class A.2: /conf/model/coreProcess |
|--------------|--|

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.5: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.26: /conf/model/physicalComponent/package-fully-implemented Abstract test A.27: /conf/model/physicalComponent/dependency-core Abstract test A.28: /conf/model/physicalComponent/byPointOrLocation Abstract test A.29: /conf/model/physicalComponent/byPosition Abstract test A.30: /conf/model/physicalComponent/byTrajectory Abstract test A.31: /conf/model/physicalComponent/byProcess Abstract test A.32: /conf/model/physicalComponent/definition |

ABSTRACT TEST A.26: FULLY IMPLEMENT PHYSICAL COMPONENT

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalComponent/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 26: /req/model/physicalComponent/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements all “Physical Component” UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation fully implements all of the package. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.27: DEPENDENCY ON CORE PROCESS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalComponent/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 27: /req/model/physicalComponent/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly passes the “Core Abstract Process” conformance test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.2. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.28: POSITION BY POINT

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalComponent/byPointOrLocation |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 28: /req/model/physicalComponent/byPointOrLocation |

ABSTRACT TEST A.28: POSITION BY POINT

| | |
|--------------|--|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly specifies reference frame origin. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.29: POSITION BY LOCATION AND ORIENTATION

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | <code>/conf/model/physicalComponent/byPosition</code> |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 29: <code>/req/model/physicalComponent/byPosition</code> |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly specifies “byPosition” reference frame origin. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.30: POSITION BY TRAJECTORY

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | <code>/conf/model/physicalComponent/byTrajectory</code> |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 30: <code>/req/model/physicalComponent/byTrajectory</code> |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly specifies “byTrajectory” reference frame origin. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.31: POSITION BY PROCESS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | <code>/conf/model/physicalComponent/byProcess</code> |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 31: <code>/req/model/physicalComponent/byProcess</code> |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly specifies “byProcess” reference frame origin. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.32: PHYSICAL COMPONENT DEFINITION

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalComponent/definition |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 32: /req/model/physicalComponent/definition |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation aligns to the specification of “Physical Component”. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

A.2.5. Physical System

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Physical System.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.6: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL SYSTEM

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalSystem |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 6: /req/model/physicalSystem |
| PREREQUISITE | Conformance class A.5: /conf/model/physicalComponent |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.33: /conf/model/physicalSystem/package-fully-implemented Abstract test A.34: /conf/model/physicalSystem/definition Abstract test A.35: /conf/model/physicalSystem/dependency-core |

ABSTRACT TEST A.33: FULLY IMPLEMENT PHYSICAL SYSTEM

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalSystem/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 33: /req/model/physicalSystem/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements “PhysicalSystem” UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.34: PHYSICAL SYSTEM DEFINITION

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalSystem/definition |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 34: /req/model/physicalSystem/definition |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly models itself as a “Physical System”. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.35: PHYSICAL SYSTEM DEPENDENCY

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/physicalSystem/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 35: /req/model/physicalSystem/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly passes the “Physical Component” conformance test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.5. |

A.2.6. Process with Advanced Data Types

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Process with Advanced Data Types.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.7: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PROCESS WITH ADVANCED DATA TYPES

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/advancedProcess |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 7: /req/model/advancedProcess |
| PREREQUISITES | Conformance class A.2: /conf/model/coreProcess http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-block-components http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-choice-components |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.36: /conf/model/advancedProcess/dependency-core Abstract test A.37: /conf/model/advancedProcess/package-fully-implemented |

ABSTRACT TEST A.36: ADVANCED PROCESS DEPENDENCE

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/advancedProcess/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 36: /req/model/advancedProcess/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation passes the “Abstract Core Process” conformance test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.2. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.37: FULLY IMPLEMENT ADVANCEDPROCESS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/advancedProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 37: /req/model/advancedProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements “Core” package UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

A.2.7. Configurable Processes

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Configurable Processes.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.8: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CONFIGURABLE PROCESSES

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 8: /req/model/configurableProcess |
| PREREQUISITE | /conf/req/coreProcess |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Encoding and Software Implementation |
| CONFORMANCE TESTS | Abstract test A.38: /conf/model/configurableProcess/dependency-core Abstract test A.39: /conf/model/configurableProcess/package-fully-implemented |

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.8: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CONFIGURABLE PROCESSES

Abstract test A.40: /conf/model/configurableProcess/
twoModesRequired
Abstract test A.41: /conf/model/configurableProcess/
settingsProperty
Abstract test A.42: /conf/model/configurableProcess/
setValueRestriction
Abstract test A.43: /conf/model/configurableProcess/
setArrayValueRestriction
Abstract test A.44: /conf/model/configurableProcess/
setConstraintRestriction

ABSTRACT TEST A.38: DEPENDENCY ON CORE PROCESS

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/dependency-core |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 38: /req/model/configurableProcess/dependency-core |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation passes the “Core Abstract Process” conformance test class. |
| TEST METHOD | Apply all tests described in section A.2. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.39: FULLY IMPLEMENT CONFIGURABLE PROCESS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 39: /req/model/configurableProcess/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation correctly implements all “Configuration” package UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.40: MODECHOICE REQUIRES 2 OR MORE MODES

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/twoModesRequired |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 40: /req/model/configurableProcess/twoModesRequired |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation implements two or more <i>mode</i> properties. |

ABSTRACT TEST A.40: MODECHOICE REQUIRES 2 OR MORE MODES

| | |
|-------------|---|
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |
|-------------|---|

ABSTRACT TEST A.41: A CONFIGURED PROCESS REQUIRES A SETTINGS ELEMENT

| | |
|------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/settingsProperty |
|------------|--|

| | |
|-------------|---|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 41: /req/model/configurableProcess/settingsProperty |
|-------------|---|

| | |
|--------------|---|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation includes a <i>configuration</i> property that takes a <i>Settings</i> class as its value. |
|--------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |
|-------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.42: ONLY PARAMETER VALUES CAN BE SET BY SETVALUE

| | |
|------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/setValueRestriction |
|------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 42: /req/model/configurableProcess/setValueRestriction |
|-------------|--|

| | |
|--------------|---|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation only references and sets values for a <i>parameter</i> defined in a configurable process. |
|--------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |
|-------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.43: ONLY PARAMETER ARRAY VALUES CAN BE SET BY SETARRAYVALUESANDSETENCODEDVALUES

| | |
|------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/setArrayValueRestriction |
|------------|--|

| | |
|-------------|---|
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 43: /req/model/configurableProcess/setArrayValueRestriction |
|-------------|---|

| | |
|--------------|---|
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation only references and sets array values for a <i>parameter</i> defined in a configurable process. |
|--------------|---|

| | |
|-------------|--|
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |
|-------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.44: ONLY PARAMETER ARRAY VALUES CAN BE SET BY SETARRAYVALUESANDSETENCODEDVALUES

| | |
|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/configurableProcess/setConstraintRestriction |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 44: /req/model/configurableProcess/setConstraintRestriction |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implementation only references and sets constraints for a <i>parameter</i> defined in a configurable process for the <i>setConstraint</i> property. |
| TEST METHOD | Verify that the implementation of the conceptual model has a constraint that enforces the above. |

A.2.8. Deployment

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Deployment.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.9: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DEPLOYMENT

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/deployment |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 9: /req/model/deployment |
| PREREQUISITES | Conformance class A.1: /conf/core http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-block-components ISO 19115:2006 (All Metadata) ISO 19136 (GML) |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Models Encodings and Software Implementations |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.45: /conf/model/deployment/package-fully-implemented |

ABSTRACT TEST A.45

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/deployment/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 45: /req/model/deployment/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implements all UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

A.2.9. Derived Property

Tests described in this section shall be used to test conformance of software and encoding models implementing the conceptual models defined in Requirements Class: Derived Property.

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.10: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DERIVED PROPERTY

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/derived-property |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 10: /req/model/derived-property |
| PREREQUISITES | Conformance class A.1: /conf/core http://www.opengis.net/spec/SWE/2.0/req/uml-block-components ISO 19115:2006 (All Metadata) ISO 19136 (GML) |
| TARGET TYPE | Derived Models Encodings and Software Implementations |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.46: /conf/model/derived-property/package-fully-implemented |

ABSTRACT TEST A.46

| | |
|--------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/model/derived-property/package-fully-implemented |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 46: /req/model/derived-property/package-fully-implemented |
| TEST PURPOSE | Verify that the target implements all UML classes. |
| TEST METHOD | Inspect the model or software implementation to verify the above. |

A.3. JSON Implementation

Tests in the following conformance test classes shall be used to check conformance of JSON documents created according to the schemas this Standard. They shall also be used to check conformance of software implementations that output these JSON documents.

A.3.1. Core Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.11: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: CORE SCHEMA

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-core |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 11: /req/json-core |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.47: /conf/json-core/media-type |

ABSTRACT TEST A.47

| | |
|-------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-core/media-type |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 47: /req/json-core/media-type |
| TEST METHOD | Check that the media type used when retrieving the document is set to the application/sml+json. |

A.3.2. Simple Process Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.12: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: SIMPLE PROCESS SCHEMA

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-simple-process |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 12: /req/json-simple-process |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.48: /conf/json-simple-process/schema-valid |

ABSTRACT TEST A.48

| | |
|------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-simple-process/schema-valid |
|------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.48

REQUIREMENT Requirement 48: /req/json-simple-process/schema-valid

TEST METHOD Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema "[SimpleProcess.json](#)".

A.3.3. Aggregate Process Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.13: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: AGGREGATE PROCESS SCHEMA

IDENTIFIER /conf/json-aggregate-process

REQUIREMENTS CLASS Requirements class 13: /req/json-aggregate-process

TARGET TYPE JSON Document

CONFORMANCE TEST Abstract test A.49: /conf/json-aggregate-process/schema-valid

ABSTRACT TEST A.49

IDENTIFIER /conf/json-aggregate-process/schema-valid

REQUIREMENT Requirement 49: /req/json-aggregate-process/schema-valid

TEST METHOD Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema "[AggregateProcess.json](#)".

A.3.4. Physical Component Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.14: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT SCHEMA

IDENTIFIER /conf/json-physical-component

REQUIREMENTS CLASS Requirements class 14: /req/json-physical-component

TARGET TYPE JSON Document

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.14: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL COMPONENT SCHEMA

| | |
|------------------|--|
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.50: /conf/json-physical-component/schema-valid |
|------------------|--|

ABSTRACT TEST A.50

| | |
|-------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-physical-component/schema-valid |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 50: /req/json-physical-component/schema-valid |
| TEST METHOD | Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema " <u>PhysicalComponent.json</u> ". |

A.3.5. Physical System Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.15: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: PHYSICAL SYSTEM SCHEMA

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-physical-system |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 15: /req/json-physical-system |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.51: /conf/json-physical-system/schema-valid |

ABSTRACT TEST A.51

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-physical-system/schema-valid |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 51: /req/json-physical-system/schema-valid |
| TEST METHOD | Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema " <u>PhysicalSystem.json</u> ". |

A.3.6. Deployment Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.16: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DEPLOYMENT SCHEMA

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-deployment |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 16: /req/json-deployment |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.52: /conf/json-deployment/schema-valid |

ABSTRACT TEST A.52

| | |
|-------------|---|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-deployment/schema-valid |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 52: /req/json-deployment/schema-valid |
| TEST METHOD | Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema " Deployment.json ". |

A.3.7. Derived Property Schema

CONFORMANCE CLASS A.17: CONFORMANCE TEST CLASS: DERIVED PROPERTY SCHEMA

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-derived-property |
| REQUIREMENTS CLASS | Requirements class 17: /req/json-derived-property |
| TARGET TYPE | JSON Document |
| CONFORMANCE TEST | Abstract test A.53: /conf/json-derived-property/schema-valid |

ABSTRACT TEST A.53

| | |
|-------------|--|
| IDENTIFIER | /conf/json-derived-property/schema-valid |
| REQUIREMENT | Requirement 53: /req/json-derived-property/schema-valid |
| TEST METHOD | Validate the JSON document using the JSON schema " DerivedProperty.json ". |



ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY

B

ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) REVISION HISTORY

Table B.1 – Revision History

| DATE | RELEASE | AUTHOR | PARAGRAPH MODIFIED | DESCRIPTION |
|------------|-----------|----------------|--|--|
| 2012-03-16 | 2.0 draft | Mike Botts | All | Initial reviewed version |
| 2012-07-27 | 2.0 | Mike Botts | All | Completed specification |
| 2012-09-12 | 2.0 | John Greybeal | All | Edits and corrections throughout |
| 2012-09-12 | 2.0 | Alex Robin | All | Edits and corrections throughout |
| 2013-07-04 | 2.0 | Mike Botts | All | Final draft version |
| 2018-08-08 | 2.1 | Eric Hirschorn | 7.9.2 (Req 43), 8.5.3, 8.1.3.15, A.8.6 | Added setEncodedValues |
| 2019-04-21 | 2.1 | Eric Hirschorn | Introduction, Normative References | Edits requested by OAB review |
| 2019-07-11 | 2.1 | Eric Hirschorn | All | Requested edits from public review, including 2.0 → 2.1 |
| 2024-04-30 | 3.0 | Alex Robin | All | Refactored to v3.0, added JSON encoding, removed XML encoding sections |
| 2024-09-04 | 3.0 | Alex Robin | All | Updated ATS |



BIBLIOGRAPHY





BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Katharina Schleidt, Ilkka Rinne: OGC 20-082r4, *Topic 20 – Observations, measurements and samples*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2023). <http://www.opengis.net/doc/as/om/3.0>.
- [2] Mike Botts, Alexandre Robin, Eric Hirschorn: OGC 12-000r2, *OGC SensorML: Model and XML Encoding Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2020). <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/SensorML/2.1.0>.
- [3] Alexandre Robin: OGC 08-094r1, *OGC® SWE Common Data Model Encoding Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2011).
- [4] Arne Bröring, Christoph Stasch, Johannes Echterhoff: OGC 12-006, *OGC® Sensor Observation Service Interface Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2012). <http://www.opengis.net/doc/IS/SOS/2.0.0>.
- [5] Ingo Simonis, Johannes Echterhoff: OGC 09-000, *OGC® Sensor Planning Service Implementation Standard*. Open Geospatial Consortium (2011).